WATER RESOURCES MANAGEMENT IN AUSTRALIA

Meenakshi Arora

22 August 2014





Background

MELBOURNE

The percentage of global population living in urban areas



Ref: GREGORY, A. & HALL, M. 2011. Urban water sustainability. In: PROSSER, I. P. (ed.) Water : science and solutions for Australia. CSIRO



Background

Historical and projected population, Victoria, Melbourne and regional Victoria, 1991–2051



Population (millions)



Key challenges – Climate change



National Temperature change 50th Percentile Annual



Projections are given relative to the period 1980-1999 (referred to as the 1990 baseline for convenience). The projections give an estimate of the average climate around 2030, 2050 and 2070, taking into account consistency among climate models. Individual years will show variation from this average. The 50th percentile (the mid-point of the spread of model results) provides a best estimate result. Source: http://www.climatechangeinaustralia.gov.au



Key challenges – Climate change



National Rainfall change 50th Percentile Annual



Projections are given relative to the period 1980-1999 (referred to as the 1990 baseline for convenience). The projections give an estimate of the average climate around 2030, 2050 and 2070, taking into account consistency among climate models. Individual years will show variation from this average. The 50th percentile (the mid-point of the spread of model results) provides a best estimate result. Source: http://www.climatechangeinaustralia.gov.au



Rainfall variability





- Protected catchments: forested areas in north and east (156,700 hectares)
- Most catchments are located in national parks and state forests where public access is limited: used exclusively for harvesting water more than 100 years.
- **Deep soils** act like sponges to hold and filter the rain water.
- Long storage times in reservoirs provide further treatment.
- A smaller proportion of water comes from open catchments: farmland, rural properties and state forests and camping, driving and small amounts of timber harvesting.
- A treatment process to meet the same drinking water quality requirements as water from protected catchments.



MELBOURNE

- 10 interconnected storage reservoirs
- Total storage capacity: 1,810 billion litres.
- Capacity varies from 3 billion litres 1,068 billion litres (Thomson).
- Water rests in the storage reservoirs for up to five years, which helps improve its quality.
- Level of treatment varies depending on source
 - Safe Drinking Water Act 2003
 - Safe Drinking Water Regulations 2005
 - Australian Drinking Water Guidelines 2011
- 38 service reservoirs at elevation
- Water is distributed via gravity.

Trends in storage development





Total storage capacity of large dams







Annual Streamflow at Melbourne's Major Harvesting Reservoirs (Thomson, Upper Yarra, O'Shannassy and Maroondah Reservoirs)





MELBOURNE

Two large infrastructure projects

- 1. Desalination plant
- 150 billion litres water/year
- In standby mode since Dec 2012.
- 84 kilometre transfer pipeline from Wonthaggi to Berwick.
 Where it joins water supply network and flows on to Cardinia Reservoir

2. North- South (Sugarloaf) pipeline: Feb 2010

- A 70 Km to carry water from the Goulburn River to Melbourne's storages at Sugarloaf Reservoir
- Goulbourn river will receive this water from Lake Eildon, which is known as Melbourne's 'critical water reserve.
- \$750 million budget
- An insurance for future droughts



MELBOURNE

Demand Management

- Water restrictions
- Voluntary conservations campaigns
- Rebates for efficient appliances and rain water tanks
- 40% reduction in per capita daily demand

Water substitution:

- Recycled water: a target of 20% reuse by 2010.
 - 23% reuse by 2009, after drought, the trends have reversed.
- Stormwater capture
 - Average annual rainfall>average annual demand
 - Office of Living Victoria: \$ 50 million fund
 - Rainwater harvesting < 2% total demand



- Historical rainfall and stream flow data: no dependable guide to future.
- Need rainfall independent water supply sources.
- Diversification of water supply sources
- Non linear system of water supply (reuse and recycle)
- Water conservation (Demand management)
- Integration of water planning with urban planning



THE UNIVERSITY OF **MELBOURNE**

INTEGRATED MODELLING AND ASSESSMENT FRAMEWORK





- 1. What's the most appropriate mix of various water supply options?
- 2. What will be the impact on overall energy use and GHG emissions?
- **3**. How will such systems interact with the existing infrastructure?



- 1. What's the most appropriate mix of various water supply options?
- 2. What will be the impact on overall energy use and GHG emissions?
- **3.** How will such systems interact with the existing infrastructure?



Hydrological flow model

Water demand model

- Household surveys, meter readings: extrapolated
- Deterministic models: Monthly or annual water demand forecasts for the whole of urban water system.
- Good for centralized systems.
- IUWM: Local sources and highly diverse over small spatial and temporal scale
- End use water demand



$$EU_d = Fre \times FR \times Dur$$

- EU_d = End-use water demand per person (Ld⁻¹)
- Fre = Frequency of use (Events/day)
- FR = Flow rate (L s-1)
- Dur = Duration of an event (seconds)

$$WD = \sum EU_d$$

- WD = Water demand per person (Ld-1)
- EUd = End-use water demands per person (Ld-1)

 $WD_{Annual} = n*WD*365$



THE UNIVERSITY OF **MELBOURNE**

Household Daily Per Capita water consumption



representation of spatial and temporal variability?

(Willis et al., 2009)



- 1. Identification of spatial variables: Multiple Regression –survey data and meter readings, YVW and CWW.
 - Household size (1-7)
 - Typology of dwelling (Flats/units, Detached houses, Apartments)
 - Presence of children under 12 years (Yes/No)
 - Appliance efficiency
 - Pool, dishwashers, size of garden and irrigation method
- 3. Identification of temporal variables: 2 sample t-tests, End use measurement data and climate data
 - Climate (temperature, rainfall, season): Shower, irrigation and cooler
 - Day of the week (weekdays or weekend): Washing machine.
- 4. User Groups:
- 5. An end use model: for each group to predict end use water demand



Conceptual Model



No. of user groups: $7^*3^*2 = 42$



The end-use model

$EU_{d} = PR \times Fre \times FR \times Dur$

- $EU_d = End$ -use water demand per household(Ld⁻¹)
- PR = Penetration rate
- Fre = Frequency of use (Events/day)
- FR = Flow rate (L s-1)
- Dur = Duration of an event (seconds)

$$WD = \sum EU_d$$

- WD = Water demand per household (Ld-1)
- EUd = End-use water demands per household (Ld-1)

 $EU_{h1} = PO \times EU_d$

- EU_{h1}=End-use water demand per household in first hour (Lh⁻¹)
- PO= Probability of occurrence
- $EU_d = End$ -use water demand per day per household (Ld⁻¹)



- 1. What's the most appropriate mix of various water supply options?
- 2. What will be the impact on overall energy use and GHG emissions?
- **3.** How will such systems interact with the existing infrastructure?



Key Question

What is the most appropriate level of decentralisation, in which

- Energy use and GHG emissions from urban water systems are minimum
- Various end use water demands are met according to the quality required.

Two step approach:

- 1. Characterize the energy use (both operational & embodied) and environmental impacts (GHG emissions) in each step of the water system by LCEA analysis: Simapro software.
- Modelling Various "what-if" scenarios in a System Dynamic Model (Simulink) to identify best possible outcome in terms of energy &GHG emissions



LCEA analysis







System Dynamic Model





- 1. What's the most appropriate mix of various water supply options?
- 2. What will be the impact on overall energy use and GHG emissions?
- 3. How will such systems interact with the existing infrastructure?



MELBOURNE

- a) Grey water reuse: More concentrated sewage.
- b) Storm water harvesting: Higher concentrations of heavy metals in the waste.

How to assess/model the impacts of hybrid water systems on central water infrastructure in terms of flow quantity and quality?

- Water balance (water, wastewater, stormwater, reuse flows)
- Contaminant balance for stormwater and wastewater (TP, TN, TSS, BOD, COD.
- MCDA: Evaluate the outputs against a criteria (based on meeting service objectives and infrastructure constraints).
- Uncertainty analysis for the most appropriate scenarios.



Framework to evaluate hybrid WSS

MELBOURNE





Stock-and-flow diagram of hybrid WSS





- 1. Water quality issues in urban catchments
 - Stormwater bio filtration
 - Stream water quality
- 2. Groundwater quality
 - Fluoride and Arsenic removal from underground water
 - Contaminated land remediation in Antarctica



- ARC, Australia
- MERIT Iconic project funding
- Carlton Connect
- Prof. Hector Malano
- Mr. Sudeep Nair
- Ms. Mukta Sapkota
- Ms. Kumudu Rathanayaka



Thank You for your attention