Using Flow-Ecology Relationships to Inform Watershed Management

Eric D. Stein

Biology Department

Hydrology is an Integrative Driver of Stream Health



If you can mitigate hydrologic alteration, you'll solve a lot of other problems

Flow Ecology is Typically Species-Specific



Physically Focused – engineering/geomorphology	Ecolo… mana…
Bank Full	Spaw… flow
100 year flood	Reari…
Bed Mobilization	Life stage strategies
Effective Discharge	Habitat maintenance
Peak Discharge	Decoupling Predator/ Competitor Habitat



Prioritizing areas for protection

Stormwater Retention



Use or Reuse of Treated Effluent

Drought and Climate Change

Setting Flow Targets to Inform Management Decisions



- Protect biological communities
- Assess future vulnerability
  - Prioritize protection efforts
- Identify when flow is the key stressor
- Inform management actions
  - Reservoir operations, flow diversions

Why is it So Difficult?

- Lots of different stream types



- Many different methods to choose from



Statistical approaches



Mechanistic approaches

- Literally **hundreds** of metrics that could be applied

Coordination Challenges



UC D

REGIONAL INSTREAM FLOW ECOLOGICAL METHODOLOGY MANUAL



SCCWRP



CALIFORNIA Water Boards

Lane, B., Dahlke, H., Pasternack, (
Revealing the diversity of natura
with relevance for environmenta

(2017) Classification of
ctive and inductive approaches:
drologic alteration, *Ecohydrology*

Statewide E-flows Framework



Coordination at the Technical Level



+





The Nature Conservancy
Protecting nature. Preserving life.™

CALIFORNIA TROUT

Berkeley
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

UCDAVIS
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

USGS
science for a changing world

SCCWRP
Established 1969

Statewide Targets by Stream Class



Local Targets

Stream Gages



Sites of Interest





Geomorphology

Ecology



Reach scale environmental flow methods



Flow targets

Ecological Limits of Hydrologic Alteration (ELOHA)

- Estimate degree of hydrologic alteration
  - Calculate a series of flow metrics
  - Current vs. “natural” conditions
- Compare hydrologic change to response of the biological community
  - Based on benthic invertebrate CSCI
  - Establish thresholds of biological response

- Develop an index of hydrologic alteration based on priority metrics
- *Apply index to evaluate management options in terms of their likely effect on biological communities*

Regional Bioassessement Data



Need to estimate hydrologic change at all these sites….

…. but very few are gauged

Estimating Hydrologic Change



Compare reference vs. current flow to produce measures of hydrologic change

Regional model ensemble



Consider a Broad Suite of Flow Metrics

- Magnitude
  - streamflow (mean, max)
  - median annual number of high flow events
- Variability
  - median percent daily change in streamflow
  - Interannual variability (min, max, median)
- Duration
  - Storm flow recession
  - Duration above baseflow
- Timing
  - month of minimum streamflow
  - Frequency of high flow events

Evaluate for multiple climatic conditions

- Average years
- Wet years
- Dry years
- All years



Establish Thresholds; example High Duration (days)

Logistic regression: Likelihood of healthy biology at each level of hydrologic alteration



Select Priority Metrics



Priority Metrics (expressed as CHANGE in metric value)

Hydrograph Component	Metric Definition	Critical precipitation condition	Decreasing Threshold	Increasing Threshold
Duration (days)	longest number of consecutive days that flow is between the low and high flow threshold	Average	-64	NT
	longest number of consecutive days that flow was greater than the high flow threshold	Wet	-3	24
Magnitude (cms)	Maximum mean monthly streamflow	Wet	NT	1.5
	streamflow exceeded 99% of the time	Wet	NT	32
Variability (unitless)	Richards-Baker index of stream flashiness	Dry	NT	0.25
Frequency (# of events)	number of events that flow was greater than high flow threshold	Dry	NT	3

Regional Hydrologic Condition



Map Hydrologic Alteration





Inform land planning process

Flow Management Zones



	Poor hydrologic condition	Good hydrologic condition
Poor biology (CSCI < 0.79)	**Flow Management**: Prioritize flow management relative to other stressors	**Other Stressors** Management/Causal Assessment:
Good biology (CSCI > 0.79)	**Monitor**	**Protect**

Scenario Analysis: Alvarado Creek Stormwater Management



Alvarado Creek Results

Metric	Units	Imperviousness				Target
		2%	5%	10%	25%	Upper threshold
MaxMonthQ	cms	0.22	0.56	1.12	2.81	0.2
Q99	cms	6	31	69	71	70
RBI	unitless	0.15	0.25	0.33	0.41	1.4

- 85% capture produces hydrologic conditions associated with healthy invertebrates
- Must reduce effective imperviousness to 2-5% to provide optimal hydrologic conditions
- Flashiness not an issue for this site

Future Directions to Inform Water Resources Management

- Develop flow-ecology relationships for other biological endpoints in addition to benthic invertebrates
  - Algae, fish, riparian habitat
  - Framework to inform tool selection based on situation
- Improve ability to discern flow effects vs. habitat effects
- Investigate implications of “shifting baselines”
  - Changes in perenniality of streams
  - Drought and climate change
- Incorporate flow considerations into technical work on State’s Biointegrity and Biostimulatory Policy
  - Case study applications in local watershed efforts

Questions