Management Applications of SCCWRP's Bioassessment Science

Bioassessment Management Applications are Not New

 Dates back to the early 1970's: "balanced indigenous populations"

- 301h waiver decisions
- 419 Power plant entrainment/impingement
- Sediment Quality Objectives
 - One of three lines-of-evidence

If it were easy, we would be done by now

Benefits and Challenges for Bioassessment Management?

 Bioassesment gets closer to the Beneficial Use than chemistry or toxicity

- Integrative measurement
- Large diversity adds complexity
 - 100s of species, 1000s of individuals per sample

Natural variability can confound assessments
Differentiating anthropogenic impacts

Recent Management Focus on Stream Bioassessment

 SWRCB started developing a biointegrity policy for streams in 2010

- Spotlight on stream invertebrates
- Started seriously considering algae in 2015
- Have now combined the Biointegrity and Biostimulatory Plans

Current Stream Bioassessment Management Applications

- NPDES Permit monitoring requirements
- 303d listing of impaired waterbodies
- Watershed Management Plans
- SWRCB Plans and Policies

Permit Requirements

 7 of SCCWRP's 8 regulated members have stream bioassessment monitoring requirements

- Invertebrates, algae, riparian condition, but not fish

Frequently includes stressors

- chemistry, flow, physical habitat

Management concern is building monitoring infrastructure

- standardized, representative, high quality data

2015 Results From Southern California **Regional Stream Monitoring**

Watersheds



Stream Monitoring Infrastructure Is Largely Complete

Field protocols

Quality Assurance Plans

Training and auditing

Data standards

- Perhaps lacking a good system for storing and sharing data, producing information

Assessment Tools Are Key

- Translates complex biodiversity data into easy-tounderstand information
 - Robust, quantitative, repeatable
- Based on biological expectations at reference sites
 - Landscape scale ecological models
- Statewide applicability for equity and site-specificity to account for natural differences
 - California Stream Condition Index (CSCI)
 - Algal Stream Condition Index (ASCI)



Current 303d Listing Policy for Bioassessment

- Uses a chemistry paradigm
 - Cannot list on biology alone

Causal assessment becomes the fulcrum

- Causal assessment before or after listing?

Management concerns revolve around two topics

- Challenge of delisting
- Ability to achieve TMDL compliance

303d listed Waterbodies for Bioassessment in Streams

Regional Board	Current (2012) 303d List	Draft (2017) 303d List
Los Angeles	3	26
Santa Ana	0	4
San Diego	0	18

Causal Assessment

• What is causing the biology scores to be so low?

We've evaluated the EPA toolkit (CADDIS)

- It's not perfect

So Cal has the regional data to build an improved toolkit

- Screening tools to make it faster, more quantitative



R3

Traditional EPA Comparators (N=4)

Southern California Regional Comparators (N=200)

Screening Causal Assessment



Site: SMCXXYY

Condition

Assessment CSCI – 0.4 Expected range (0.39 – 0.63) H20 – 32 Expected range (28 – 50)

Rapid Screening Bioassessment Dashboard

Causal Assessment



303d Listing Concerns – Modified Systems

- What is an appropriate biological expectation [CSCI score] for modified systems?
- Current [non-regulatory] thresholds are based on undeveloped reference streams
- It is clear that modified systems may never reach reference like levels
 - Restoration investments
 - Use attainability analysis

Choosing a Threshold is Not Entirely Technical



Index Scores in Modified Systems



Index Scores in Modified Systems



Management Challenges Outnumber Technical in Modified Systems

Choose a regulatory approach

- Tiered Aquatic Life Use (TALU) and tiered objectives
- Antidegradation

Prioritizing future restoration efforts

- Focus on really poor sites
- Fair sites that are just below the threshold

Current Stream Bioassessment Management Applications

- NPDES Permit monitoring requirements
- 303d listing of impaired waterbodies
- Watershed Management Plans
- SWRCB Plans and Policies

Watershed Management Plans

Watershed Plans beginning to use biology as success metrics

- Malibu Creek for nutrients and suspended sediment
- Rainbow Creek and Santa Margarita River for nutrient concentrations
- San Juan Creek for flow alteration

 San Diego RWQCB has made stream restoration a key element of their long-term vision

Management concerns focus on ability to succeed

- Independent applicability
- Uncertain how local vs watershed activities influence stream biology

There's Limited Empirical Data for Restoration Effectiveness



Predicting Response to Management Actions



Mapping Vulnerability to Future Growth

Flow ecology in the San Diego River



Current

2050

SWRCB Combined Biointegrity and Biostimulatory Plan

- Established three goals for updating the Inland Surface Water Plan for bioassessment
- Protect high quality streams
- Restore degraded streams
- Utilize numeric guidance

Tentative SWRCB Schedule

Task	Example Product	Target Dates
Project Initiation	Focus groups, Regulatory and Stakeholder Advisory Groups, CEQA	Nov 2017
Staff Report	Draft Biostimulatory Substances/Biological Integrity Amendment language	Winter 2018
Public Hearing	Public Hearing to receive oral comments	Summer 2019
Board Adoption	Board meeting to consider adoption	Winter 2019