Bioobjectives Scoring Tool: California Stream Condition Index (CSCI)







Eric Stein

Ken Schiff





Bioassessment

aboratory

Biological indicators are the best way to assess biological integrity

Challenge is to use them consistently across the state

photo courtesy John Sandberg

- Establishing Reference Conditions
- Developing the CSCI Scoring Tool
- Stressor Identification

Fundamentals of "Reference"

Reference condition is the foundation of bio-objectives objective basis for setting biological expectations provides and "anchor" for bioassessment scoring tool

- Use natural condition (or something close to it) as the desired state whenever possible
 - NOT defined based on biology, but landscape setting

Stream Sites with Low Levels of Human Activity



Defining Reference

Tension of twin goals

- A. Need sufficient numbers of sites to characterize reference across the full range of natural stream settings in California.
- **B.** Stringent enough to ensure only high quality sites are included
- 1. Identify candidate sites
- 2. Compile landscape-scale data on a wide range of stressors
- 3. Examine the distribution of stressors across the state
- 4. Set preliminary thresholds based on literature values and BPJ
- 5. Refine thresholds using statistical analysis

Many Candidate Sites, Many Variables Tested

Approximately 1,700 sites

- 800 probabilistic
- 900 targeted

More than 170 variables

 Landscape scale measures of disturbance

- 20 different programs
 - 8 probabilistic
 - 12 targeted
- Statewide coverage



Multiple scales

- Watershed
- Reach
- 5 km
- 1 km
- Statewide coverage

Reference Thresholds

Variable	Local Scale	Local Threshold (1k/ 5k)	Watershed Threshold (ws)
% Agricultural or Urban	1k/5k	3 %	3 %
% Agricultural and Urban	1k/5k	5 %	-
% Code 21 (urban grasses)	1k/5k	7 %	10 %
Road Density	1k/5k	2 km/km²	2 km/km²
Road Crossings	1k/5k	5/10 per km	20 per km
Dam Distance	-	1 km	
% Canals/Pipes	-	10%	
Instream Gravel Mines	5k	0.1/ km	
Producer Mines	5k	1	
Conductivity	-	99/1 *	
W1_Hall (riparian veg)	-	1.5	

Threshold Evaluation

TENSION: need to represent full range of stream types vs. not relaxing thresholds to include "non-reference streams"

- **1. <u>Sensitivity</u>**: How many sites do you get when you adjust thresholds?
- 2. <u>**Responsiveness</u>**: Have we limited the biological response to stressors within the reference data set?</u>
- **3.** <u>**Representativeness</u>**: Have we captured important natural gradients within the reference data set?</u>

Are Thresholds Appropriate?



Threshold Sensitivity



Responsiveness of the Full Range of Reference



Broad Geographic Coverage



Reference Representation in Challenging Areas



Legend

Ref sites (Miocene)

Nonreference

Ref sites (Non-Miocene)

Miocene geology

Statewide Distribution of Reference

REGION	n	% of region
North Coast	79	28
Central Valley	1	2
Coastal Chaparral	87	18
Interior Chaparral	30	33
South Coast Mountains	96	68
South Coast Xeric	22	2
Western Sierra	131	50
Central Lahontan	142	74
Deserts + Modoc	27	56
TOTAL	615	-

Reference Conclusions

Established a robust reference network that can be used to set biological expectations statewide

Majority of the state has good representation by reference network

Reference network must be an ongoing effort

- Some problematic areas remain
 - Areas of relatively poor representation, e.g. Central Valley
 - Areas that are represented, but could use additional sites, e.g. low gradient S. CA
 - Other water body types (e.g. non-perennial streams)

Options for "Problematic" Areas

Targeted investigations to try and find additional reference sites

Redefine reference for problematic areas
 Variable definitions of reference

Address through adjustments to the scoring tool

- Establishing Reference Conditions
- Developing the CSCI Scoring Tool
- Stressor Identification

Why Do We Need A New Tool?

Different scoring approaches for different regions
Some parts of the State lack any scoring tools

Inconsistent definition of reference

A new statewide index is needed

The California Stream Condition Index (CSCI)

Much better reference data set

- Bigger, broader, and more rigorously screened
- Nearly double sites in S. CA compared to the IBI

Consistent meaning statewide, without regionalization

- A specific score means the same thing in all areas
- Nearly all perennial wadeable streams can be assessed

Site-specific expectations means that your site is held to appropriate standards

 Each site is assessed relative to community that should be there based on site's physical properties

Elements of the CSCI

Taxonomic completeness (O/E)

- Is a measure of species loss
- Compares taxa found at similar reference sites

Ecological structure (MMI)

- Comprised of several metrics that represent community structure
- Compares metric values observed at similar reference sites.

Multiple elements provide complementary information about biological health

The CSCI Uses a Predictive Approach

- The CSCI creates site-specific expectations for each site based on taxa found at groups of similar reference sites
- Groups of reference sites are defined by natural gradients
 - Have a major effect on the invertebrate community
 - Largely unaffected by human activity
 - Latitude
 - Elevation

800 000

Precipitation

- Temperature
- Watershed area

Expected invertebrate community under unaltered conditions

Scoring Relative to Site-specific Expecations

- ✤ Develop expected taxa list based on reference sites located in similar environmental settings → Expected
- ♦ Collect Taxa at site being evaluated → Observed
- Compare Observed vs. Expected taxa list

species and metrics **measured** at test site = **Observed** species and metrics **predicted** at site = **Expected**

If O/E is ~1.0, biological integrity is intact If O/E << 1.0, biological integrity is altered

Two Elements of CSCI



Calculating the CSCI

\odot CSCI ranges from 0 – 1

Mean of reference sites = 1.01 ± 0.12 sd



CSCI is responsive to stress



CSCI is consistent in all regions

CSCI scores at reference sites in major CA ecoregions



CSCI is consistent over time

CSCI scores at reference sites 2000 - 2011



Sample Application of CSCI



Saxon Creek: Tahoe Basin Urbanized CSCI = 0.63



Sweetwater: Socal Xeric Open

CSCI = 1.09



Reference Sites Based on Setting



Taxonomic completeness

Sweet	water	Sax	kon
Observed		Observed	
Acari		Acari	
Baetis		Chironominae	
Chironominae		Cinygmula	
Orthocladiinae		Lepidostoma	
Simulium		Micrasema	
Oligochaeta		Orthocladiinae	
Tanypodinae		Paraleptophlebia	
		Simulium	
Far more taxa at Saxon Creek,		Sweltsa	
but	Bezzia		
	Oligochaeta		
		Tanypodinae	
		Zapada	

Taxonomic completeness

Sweetwater		Saxon	
Observed	Missing	Observed	Missing
Acari	Bezzia	Acari	Baetis
Baetis		Chironominae	Drunella
Chironominae		Cinygmula	Malenka
Orthocladiinae		Lepidostoma	Rhyacophila
Simulium		Micrasema	Turbellaria
Oligochaeta		Orthocladiinae	Yoraperla
Tanypodinae		Paraleptophlebia	Epeorus
Lots of taxa missing at Saxon creek		Simulium	
		Sweltsa	
		Bezzia	
		Oligochaeta	

Tanypodinae

Zapada

Ecological structure

% EPT Taxa



Most metrics "better" at Saxon Creek, but much further from expectations

Sample Application of CSCI



Saxon Creek: Tahoe Basin Urbanized CSCI = 0.63



Sweetwater: Socal Xeric Open

CSCI = 1.09



Setting Thresholds



	0.72	0.85
very likely	likely	likely
altered	altered	intact





What About Channelized Streams?



Scoring Tool Conclusions and Future Efforts

State has a new scoring tool for use in implementing bio-objectives

- Predictive approach allows sites to be judged against site-specific expectations
- Can be applied with a consistent interpretation statewide
- Through policy development, additional issues need to be addressed:
 - Setting thresholds
 - How to deal with special class streams
 - Streams with few appropriate ref sites (e.g., Central Valley floor streams)
 - Streams unlikely to achieve reference condition (e.g., permanently channelized streams)

Need to develop support tools to ease/automate calculation of CSCI

- Establishing Reference Conditions
- Developing the CSCI Scoring Tool
- Stressor Identification

Stressor Identification

What's causing my site to be out of compliance?

US EPA has a framework developed for stressor identification

- www.epa.gov/CADDIS
- Not vetted in California

Our goal was to test CADDIS in four California case studies

- Write an Evaluation and Guidance Manual



Causal Assessment Diagnostic Decision Information System

The Five Steps

Define the case

List candidate causes

Evaluate data from the case

Evaluate data from outside the case

Identify probable causes

- Refute causes

The Five Steps



List candidate causes

Evaluate data from the case

Evaluate data from outside the case

Identify probable causes

- Refute causes

Garcia River

Salinas River

Santa Clara River

San Diego River

The Five Steps

Define the case

List candidate causes

Evaluate data from the case

Evaluate data from outside the case

Identify probable causes

- Refute causes

CUMULATIVE LIST OF CANDIDATE CAUSES

Flow alteration

- Physical habitat loss or alteration
- Temperature
- Dissolved oxygen
- Conductivity, TDS

- 💠 Sediment
- Nutrients
- Trace metals
- Pesticides
- PAHs
- Invasive species

The Five Steps

Define the case

List candidate causes

Evaluate data from the case

Evaluate data from outside the case

Identify probable causes

- Refute causes

TYPES OF EVIDENCE

- Spatial/temporal cooccurrence
- 💠 Exposure
- Biological mechanism
- Field based stressresponse relationship
- Casual pathway

- Manipulation of exposure
- Laboratory tests of site media
- Temporal sequence
- Verified predictions
- Symptoms

Spatial-Temporal Co-Occurrence: San Diego River



Stressor-Response from the Field: Garcia River



The Five Steps

Define the case

List candidate causes

Evaluate data from the case

Evaluate data from outside the case

Identify probable causes

- Refute causes

Co-Occurrence from Outside the Case: Santa Clara and San Diego Rivers



Reference Sites

Species Sensitivity Distributions



Max Concentration at Salinas River Test Site

CADDIS Works, but It Isn't Perfect

CADDIS strengths

- Already built and documented
- Adept at ruling out causes
- Wonderful communication tool

CADDIS weaknesses

- Nonpoint, cumulative stressors are difficult to diagnose
- Challenges to find appropriate comparator sites
- Uncertainty is problematic for decision making

Because of California's unique issues, implementing recommendations will be important

Guidance Manual Recommendations

Take advantage of our large statewide data set for comparator site selection

- Can be automated

Reduce uncertainty by creating new data analysis tools
 Will streamline analysis increasing speed and decreasing cost

Post-identification steps need similar guidance

Questions