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• Sea level rise presents substantial challenges to managing wetlands and other coastal resources

• Several options to accommodate challenges of SLR

• Options vary by wetland type

• There is urgency.... But there is time
Observed and Projected Tidal Floods

La Jolla, CA

- 2050 – 0.6 m, 24”
- 2100 – 1.6 m, 63”

NOAA National Center for Environmental Information
What are the Implications of SLR for S. Ca. Coastal Wetlands?
Dozens of leopard sharks died near the mouth of the Tijuana River. (Serge Dedina)

Spread of Spartina into former pickleweed areas at Mugu

Subsidence and inundation at Seal Beach

Pickleweed dieback at Carpinteria
What Are Our Management Options?

**Facilitate Migration**
- Allow or facilitate passive marsh migration
- Grade areas adjacent to wetlands to increase opportunity for migration
- Relocate or abandon adjacent infrastructure or development

**Manage Water Levels**
- Alter structure and/or management of “mouth” of lagoons
- Install pumps or tide gates to control water elevations
- Reconnect currently fragmented systems to improve water flow

**Promote Accretion**
- Thin layer sediment augmentation
- Sediment trapping
- Sediment re-use to raise marsh elevations

**Allow Conversion to Deepwater Habitat**
• What is the relative vulnerability of coastal wetlands to effects of sea level rise?
• How does vulnerability vary by wetland type or location?
• How can management actions affect vulnerability?
• Which management actions are most appropriate for specific wetland types?
Hypsometric Curves

area under the curve $\approx$ area of different habitat types
We Evaluated Different Scenarios

- Two sea level rise projections
  - 0.6 m (23.6”) SLR by 2050
  - 1.7 m (63.0”) SLR by 2100

- Three wetland migration scenarios
  - No wetland migration (existing wetland extent)
  - Wetland migration (avoid developed areas)
  - Wetland migration (all areas)
Absent Intervention, SLR Will Result in Substantial Loss of Coastal Wetlands

405 ha (1,000 acres) of vegetated marsh and unvegetated flats will be lost regionwide with 0.6 m (23.6”) SLR and 1,620 ha (4,000 acres) with 1.7 m (63.0”) SLR.
Maintaining Wetlands in the Future Depends on Wetland Expansion

![Graph showing area (acres) with different sea level rise scenarios.](Graph)

- **Current**: 10,000 acres
- **23.6 in**: 11,000 acres
- **63.0 in**: 12,000 acres
Maintaining Wetlands in the Future Depends on Wetland Expansion
Management Options

**Goal** = maintain 70% of total estuary area as vegetated marsh

- Facilitate wetland migration
- One-time up front sediment augmentation
- Ongoing enhanced accretion

*(Rick Nye/USFWS)*
Management Options

Goal

Percent vegetated marsh

Migration only

No migration + Sediment management

Wetland migration + Sediment management

1.6 m, 63” SLR
Management Recommendations

- Where you have room → facilitate migration

- For larger systems → augment accretion

- Some small or constrained systems → allow conversion to deep water
Future Research Directions

- Build on this initial screening level analysis
- Increase sophistication and confidence in models
  - Better prediction of mouth dynamics
  - Improved consideration of migration into transition zones
  - Expanded consideration of watershed inputs
- Better understanding of response of biological communities
- Investigate implications of management actions
  - Explore different trajectories of response based on management
Current Efforts

❖ Quantify the “Ridges to Reefs” movement of sediment

❖ Identify innovative, integrated strategies to manage sediment
  • Focus on long-term strategies

❖ Include influence of social drivers

❖ Focus on two end-member systems
  • Newport Beach
  • Tijuana River Valley
General Approach and Products

- Physical models
  - GSSHA
  - Sediment mass balance
  - DELFT 3D

- Marsh Response models
  - WARMER
  - SCCWRP

- Biological response models
  - MaxEnt
  - GAM
  - CART

- Social drivers
- Management actions
Questions