

## **Development of Recommended Flow Targets to Support Biological Integrity Based on Regional Flow-ecology Relationships for Benthic Macroinvertebrates in Southern California Streams**

Eric D. Stein<sup>1</sup>, Raphael D. Mazon<sup>1</sup>, Ashmita Sengupta<sup>1</sup>, Kenny McCune<sup>1</sup>, Brian Bledsoe<sup>2,3</sup>, Stephen Adams<sup>3</sup>, Sarah Eberhart<sup>3</sup>, Matt Pyne<sup>4</sup>, Peter Ode<sup>5</sup>, Andy Rehn<sup>5</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*Southern California Coastal Water Research Project, Costa Mesa, CA*

<sup>2</sup>*University of Georgia, Athens, GA*

<sup>3</sup>*Colorado State University, Fort Collins, CO*

<sup>4</sup>*Lamar University, Beaumont, TX*

<sup>5</sup>*California Department of Fish and Wildlife, Sacramento, CA*

### **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

Changes to instream flow are known to be one of the major factors that affect the health of biological communities. Regulatory, monitoring, and management programs are increasingly using biological community composition, particularly benthic invertebrates, as one measure of instream conditions, stormwater project performance, or regulatory compliance with NPDES or other requirements and regulations. Understanding the relationship between changes in flow and changes in benthic invertebrate communities is, therefore, critical to informing decisions about ecosystem vulnerability, causes of stream and watershed degradation, and priorities for future watershed management.

There are many approaches to developing flow-ecology relationships that relate hydrologic change to responses in instream biological communities that can be used to establish management targets. The Ecological Limits of Hydrologic Alteration (ELOHA) framework (Poff et al. 2010.) provides a way to assess the effect of flow alteration on the condition of biological communities (vs. individual taxa) on a regional basis. Consequently, it is a useful approach for setting targets across a wide range of geographies and stream types where comprehensive detailed site-specific investigations are not practical. The framework includes elements of stream classification, estimation of flow alteration and development of flow ecology relationships based on the response of biological communities to changes in flow.

In this project, we applied to the ELOHA framework to develop regional flow-ecology relationships and targets based on responses in the benthic macroinvertebrate community. Our objectives were: 1) Develop a recommended set of flow targets for southern California streams that would maximize the likelihood of maintain healthy biological communities as indicated by the California Stream Condition Index (CSCI) for benthic invertebrates. 2) Produce a set of tools that can be readily applied to future sites to estimate hydrologic alteration relative to biologically-define targets.

Development of the regional flow-ecology relationships relied on an ensemble of hydrologic models to estimate flow alteration at ungauged sites, and took advantage of a regional bioassessment data that allowed us to assess flow-ecology relationships at broad spatial scales. Our general approach involved developing a hydrologic classification for the entire State of California, calibrating and validating watershed models for the stream classes present in southern California, using the models to assess hydrologic change at 572 bioassessment sites, relating hydrologic change to biological responses, setting targets based on likelihood of biological response associated with changes in key flow metrics, applying the flow-ecology tools to assess regional hydrologic condition, and prioritizing sites for various

management actions based on their response relative to the established flow targets (along with information on presence of other stressors).

### **Full Text**

[http://ftp.sccwrp.org/pub/download/DOCUMENTS/TechnicalReports/974\\_RecomFlowTargetsForBioIntegrity.pdf](http://ftp.sccwrp.org/pub/download/DOCUMENTS/TechnicalReports/974_RecomFlowTargetsForBioIntegrity.pdf)