WELCOME TO THE EAST SAN JOAQUIN EXPERT PANEL MEETING

- The meeting begins at 8:30
- Please contact Paul Smith (pauls@sccwrp.org) if you are having connection difficulties
- All observers will be muted until called upon during public comment periods

WELCOME TO THE ESJ PANEL MEETING

Panel members

- Panel members will be on screen throughout the meeting
- Panel members will be unmuted, but asked to self-mute unless speaking

Speakers

- Speakers will be promoted to onscreen when it is time for their agenda item
- Speakers will remain onscreen until their agenda item ends (including public comment)

Audience participation

- We will take public comment associated with each agenda item, to the extent there is time
- Will aloes have a comment period later in the day for overflow and for non-agenda items

BACKGROUND

- The Eastern San Joaquin River (ESJ) watershed growers were issued a waste discharge permit by the Regional Board in 2012
 - The permit included a surface water monitoring program
- Adequacy of the monitoring program was challenged by several groups
 - The State Water Board recognized some merits in the petition
 - They ordered the Regional Board to convene a panel of experts to review adequacy of the monitoring program
- SCCWRP was asked to facilitate the Review Panel

WHAT IS SCCWRP?

- Water quality research institute located in southern California
 - A joint powers agency founded in 1969
 - Formed by 14 water quality management agencies
 - Our mission: Provide an unbiased scientific foundation for ambient water quality management in California
- SCCWRP has a long history with developing and implementing monitoring programs
 - We are here as facilitators, not expert participants, in this case
- Most of our work is conducted outside of Regional Board 5
 - Provides a level of independence in implementing the Expert Panel

STAKEHOLDER ADVISORY GROUP

Created a stakeholder advisory group to advise me

- Nine locals who have intimate familiarity with the issues
- Ensure Panel members have all the information and perspectives necessary to understand the issues on which they will base their recommendations

I asked for their help with three activities

- Refining the Panel charge questions
- Selecting Panel members
- Setting the agenda and selecting speakers for today's meeting

They are advisory only

They have been wonderful to work with

STAKEHOLDER ADVISORY GROUP

- Three from agricultural groups most affected by the outcome
 - Parry Klassen
 - Michael Wackman
 - Sarah Rutherford
- Three from NGO's that initiated the petition
 - Sean Bothwell
 - Lisa Hunt
 - Richard McHenry
- Three from the regulatory community that would be part of implementing the Panel's recommendations
 - Patrick Pulupa
 - Adam Laputz
 - Brianna St. Pierre

PANEL MEMBERS

- Kevin Armbrust Environmental chemistry
- John Hunt Monitoring program design and implementation
- Jon Constantino Regulatory program implementation
- Doug Parker Agronomy and agricultural practices
- Charles Menzie Aquatic ecotoxicology
- Please see Panel web site for their biographies

ESJ PANEL MEETING SCHEDULE

- The Panel is planning three meetings
 - The first meeting was held in January to provide the Panel with background information
 - Included a field trip
- Second meeting focused on answering questions posed by the Panel
 - Three day meeting via Zoom
 - Goal is that the Panel will have enough information to prepare a draft report
- Third meeting (probably in October/November) will be for the Panel to receive feedback on their draft report
 - Final report to be submitted in December

ESJ PANEL SECOND MEETING AGENDA

Day 1 (Today) – Response to Panel

- Case studies illustrating program effectiveness Melissa Turner
- Case studies illustrating how "special studies" are used Mike Johnson
- System hydrology Parry Klassen

Day 2 (Tomorrow)

- Toxicity testing alternatives Steve Shimek
- Ventura County agricultural monitoring program Snejana Toneva/Jun Zhu/Amy Storm
- Delta Regional Monitoring Program Selina Cole/Adam Laputz

Day 3 (Wednesday)

- Morning: Additional perspectives and public comment
- 1:30: Panel verbal report on their findings
- Your personal sign-in link will work for all three days

QUESTIONS AND PUBLIC COMMENT

Presenters have been asked to limit their talk to half the time allotted

We want time for questions and comments

Panel will have opportunity for questions first

- Advisory Group will next be given opportunity for any corrections to the information presented
- We will then take public comments

Public comment

- We will take public comment associated with each agenda item, to the extent there is time
- Will aloes have a comment period later in the day for overflow and for non-agenda items
- Please enter the nature of your question/comment in the Chat box
- Please indicate if you would like to verbalize the comment yourself, or have it read for you

You also have the opportunity to provide written input

All written input will be placed on the Panel web site