Water quality criteria for an acidifying ocean: Challenges and opportunities for improvement

Stephen B. Weisberg¹, Nina Bednaršek², Richard A. Feely³, Francis Chan⁴, Alexandria B. Boehm⁵, Martha Sutula¹, Jennifer L. Ruesink⁶, Burke Hales⁷, John L. Largier⁸, Jan A. Newton⁹

ABSTRACT

Acidification has sparked discussion about whether regulatory agencies should place coastal waters on the CleanWater Act 303(d) impaired water bodies list. Here we describe scientific challenges in assessing impairment with existing data, exploring use of both pH and biological criteria. Application of pH criteria is challenging because present coastal pH levels fall within the allowable criteria range, but the existing criteria allow for pH levels that are known to cause extensive biological damage. Moreover, some states express their water quality criteria as change from natural conditions, but the spatio-temporal distribution and quality of existing coastal pH data are insufficient to define natural condition. Biological criteria require that waters be of sufficient quality to support resident biological communities and are relevant because a number of biological communities have declined over the last several decades. However, the scientific challenge is differentiating those declines from natural population cycles and positively associating them with acidification-related water quality stress. We present two case studies, one for pteropods and one for oysters, which illustrate the opportunities, challenges and uncertainties associated with implementing biological criteria. The biggest challenge associated with these biological assessments is lack of co-location between long-term biological and chemical monitoring, which inhibits the ability to connect biological response with an acidification stressor. Developing new, ecologically relevant water quality criteria for acidification and augmenting coastal water monitoring at spatiotemporal scales appropriate to those criteria would enhance opportunities for effective use of water quality regulations.

Due to distribution restrictions, the full-text version of this article is available by request only.

Please contact pubrequest@sccwrp.org to request a copy.

¹Southern California Coastal Water Research Project, Costa Mesa, CA

²University of Washington, School of Marine and Environmental Affairs, Seattle, WA

³National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, Pacific Marine Environmental Laboratory, Seattle, WA

⁴Oregon State University, Department of Integrative Biology, Corvallis, OR

⁵Stanford University, Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, Stanford, CA

⁶Department of Biology, University of Washington, Seattle, WA

⁷Oregon State University, College of Earth, Ocean, and Atmosphere Sciences, Corvallis, OR

⁸University of California, Davis, Bodega Marine Laboratory, Bodega Bay, CA

⁹University of Washington, Applied Physics Laboratory, Seattle, WA