

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com



Progress in Oceanography 67 (2005) 160-185

Progress in Oceanography

www.elsevier.com/locate/pocean

A comparison of long-term trends and variability in populations of larvae of exploited and unexploited fishes in the Southern California region: A community approach

Chih-hao Hsieh ^{a,*}, Christian Reiss ^b, William Watson ^b, M. James Allen ^c, John R. Hunter ^{a,b}, Robert N. Lea ^d, Richard H. Rosenblatt ^a, Paul E. Smith ^{a,b}, George Sugihara ^a

^a Scripps Institution of Oceanography, University of California-San Diego, 9500 Gilman Drive, La Jolla, CA 92093-0208, USA ^b Southwest Fisheries Science Center, National Marine Fisheries Service, 8604 La Jolla Shores Drive, La Jolla, CA 92037-1508, USA ^c Southern California Coastal Water Research Project, 7171 Fenwick Lane, Westminster, CA 92683, USA

^d California Department of Fish and Game, Marine Biodiversity Project, 20 Lower Ragsdale Drive, Monterey, CA 93940, USA

Received 8 February 2004; received in revised form 2 May 2005; accepted 12 May 2005 Available online 14 July 2005

Abstract

We have constructed an "expert-knowledge classification system" to categorize 309 fish taxa in the California Cooperative Oceanic Fisheries Investigations ichthyoplankton database into primary (coastal, coastal-oceanic, and oceanic) assemblages based on their principal ecological domains and subsequently, secondary assemblages according to the habitat affinities of adults. We examined effects of fishing, climate, adult habitat, and age-at-maturation on long-term variation of fish populations. We tested the hypothesis that populations of unexploited taxa track climatic trends more closely than those of exploited taxa insofar as climatic signals may be confounded by fishing effects.

Most oceanic taxa (23/34) showed a significant relationship with environmental variables and followed the trend of the Pacific Decadal Oscillation. Very few coastal (3/10) and coastal-oceanic (3/23) taxa exhibited a significant relationship with environmental signals; however, several fluctuated coherently, and age-at-maturation is an important factor. The lack of close correlation between fish populations and environmental signals in the coastal and coastal-oceanic assemblages indicates that these species might show nonlinear biological responses to external forcing rather than a simple linear tracking of environmental variables.

We did not find a systematic pattern indicating that fishing influenced population fluctuation of exploited species. Constrained comparisons of exploited to unexploited species living in the same habitat and reaching maturity at the same age revealed evidence of overexploitation for some species but not for all. Our results suggest that considering

^{*} Corresponding author. Tel.: +1 858 822 2111.

E-mail address: chsieh@ucsd.edu (C.-h. Hsieh).

^{0079-6611/\$ -} see front matter @ 2005 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.pocean.2005.05.002

life history and ecological characteristics of fish species and applying a community approach are important in understanding fishing effects on fish populations in the context of a changing environment. © 2005 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

Keywords: Fish assemblages; CalCOFI time series; Fishing effects; Climate; Age-at-maturation

1. Introduction

Understanding decadal-scale climatic effects on the Northeast Pacific marine ecosystem is an important issue because strong environmental changes have occurred at this time scale (Chavez, Ryan, Lluch-Cota, & Niquen, 2003; Hare & Mantua, 2000; McGowan, Bograd, Lynn, & Miller, 2003; Venrick, McGowan, Cayan, & Hayward, 1987). It has been hypothesized that a regime or an ocean climate condition may persist for 2–3 decades and then undergo a rapid change to another state (Mantua, Hare, Zhang, Wallace, & Francis, 1997; Trenberth & Hurrel, 1994). However, whether these changes are regime shifts generated from underlying nonlinear dynamics or manifestations of red noise is still debated (Pierce, 2001; Rudnick & Davis, 2003). Evidence of warming in the North Pacific since 1976 and a variety of biological responses have been noted (Beamish, Neville, & Cass, 1997; Brinton & Townsend, 2003; Lavaniegos & Ohman, 2003; Roemmich & McGowan, 1995a, 1995b). Cool conditions in the North Pacific continuing after 1998 suggest another transition to a new ocean state (Ohman & Venrick, 2003; Peterson & Schwing, 2003). In addition to these low-frequency effects, biological production is affected by high-frequency El Niño/Southern Oscillation events (Fiedler, Methot, & Hewitt, 1986; Yoklavich, Loeb, Nishimoto, & Daly, 1996).

Clearly, fluctuations of exploited fish populations can be affected by both environmental forcing and fishing mortality (Jacobson et al., 2001; McFarlane, Smith, Baumgartner, & Hunter, 2002), and these factors are inextricably convolved in catch data. From the viewpoints of fisheries management and conservation of marine resources, it is important to determine fishing effects on fish populations and communities within the context of a changing environment. This view is an essential component of ecosystem-based approaches to fisheries management that has gradually become the standard requirement for fisheries management; that is, to base management decisions not only on the status of a fish population but also the ecosystem (Browman & Stergiou, 2004; Garcia, Zerbi, Aliaume, Do Chi, & Lasserre, 2003; NOAA, 1999; Pikitch et al., 2004). One practical issue here is to develop approaches that can be used to separate fishing from environmental effects on fish populations. Analyses of long-term data on the abundance of species taken independently of their fishery offer the best chance to achieve this goal. The larval fish data collected in the California Cooperative Oceanic Fisheries Investigations (CalCOFI) may be useful to separate these effects, because the CalCOFI program is one of the most comprehensive observational oceanography programs in the world and spans more than 50 years (Hewitt, 1988; Ohman & Venrick, 2003).

Larval abundances are primarily measures of the spawning biomass and reproductive effort of the adult stock for the year, because most larvae taken in plankton nets are in a very early stage of development. However, their abundance is not correlated with subsequent year class strength (Peterman, Bradford, Lo, & Methot, 1988). Long-term trends in larval abundance mostly reflect trends in adult biomass; short-term fluctuations are likely related to episodes of high or low reproductive output or geographic shifts due to animal movement (e.g., El Niño effects), since sudden changes in biomass would not be expected (Moser et al., 2000). Several studies have shown that larval abundance is a good indicator of adult biomass (Gunderson, 1993; Moser et al., 2000; Moser et al., 2001b; Moser & Watson, 1990). The common use of larval indices in stock assessment models also supports this conclusion. Given that there are no long-term survey data of adult populations, and fisheries data are confounded by changing fishing mortality, the CalCOFI larval fish data are one of best sources of information for monitoring the relative sizes of adult populations along the west coast of the United States.

One possible use of larval fish data is to consider the larvae as representatives of the various communities of adult fishes that produced them. Fish communities can be determined based on adult habitats, assuming that species using the same habitats should interact. In the southern California region, community ecology of coastal fishes has been studied (Allen, 1982; Horn & Allen, 1985), and both biogeography and habitat use documented (Horn, 1980; Horn & Allen, 1978; Miller & Lea, 1972). However, this study is the first attempt to categorize all fish species whose larvae are collected in the CalCOFI program into distinct communities according to the adult habitat. We use "assemblage" instead of "community" to represent species that live in the same biogeographic region and habitat, and thus, "potentially" interact with each other, because a community cannot be defined from our data.

Species living in the same habitat should experience the same environmental forcing. Long-term variability of fish population size is a product of species interactions and species responses to the environment as well as fisheries. In order to understand this variability, we propose to examine assemblages of species rather than individual species. In addition to habitat, life history traits are known to affect the responses of fish populations to fisheries and the environment (Adams, 1980). Here, we examined only age-at-maturation, because data for other factors are sparse, especially for noncommercial species. Our approach was to compare within and between groups of species, with group membership constrained by their habitats and life history traits in order to reduce confounding effects.

We started with a description of fish assemblages in the Southern California Region (SCR). We used the ichthyoplankton time series to examine species co-variation (cross-correlations among taxa) for each assemblage and determined factors affecting that co-variation. We tested the hypothesis that populations of unexploited taxa track climatic trends more closely than those of exploited taxa, because climatic signals may be confounded by fishing effects. Finally, we compared exploited and unexploited taxa within an assemblage living in the same habitat and reaching maturity at about the same age in the SCR. The potential and limitation of this community approach based on the CalCOFI data are discussed.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. The expert-knowledge classification system

We constructed an "expert-knowledge classification system" to categorize species (or higher taxa) in the CalCOFI ichthyoplankton database into assemblages (Table 1 and Supplementary Table 1). This system included a panel of experts (Allen, Hunter, Lea, Moser, Rosenblatt, and Watson) and the literature where available. Three primary assemblages (coastal, coastal-oceanic, and oceanic) were defined based on their cross-shore distribution. These three assemblages are considered the principal ecological divisions of the fish species in the SCR. Within each primary assemblage, secondary assemblages were categorized based on adult habitats.

2.2. Life history data

We compiled information on age-at-maturation for species whose larvae commonly occur in coastal and coastal-oceanic habitats (Supplementary Table 2). Our best choice was to use studies based on California specimens. Lacking that, in order of preference, we used:

Table 1

Summary of criteria used in the "expert-knowledge classification system" to determine fish assemblages

Oceanic (seaward of the slope) *Depth* Epipelagic (upper 200 m) Epi-mesopelagic migrator Mesopelagic Bathypelagic

Region World wide North Pacific Pacific

Coastal-oceanic

Region North: north of Point Conception Bight: characteristic of the Southern California Bight (SCB: defined for this purpose as Point Conception, California, to Punta Eugenia, Baja California, Mexico) South: south of Punta Eugenia Broad north: broadly distributed from the SCB northward Broad south: broadly distributed from the SCB southward All: found in all regions above

Coastal (continental shelf and upper slope)

Shore Nearshore: ≤30 m Offshore: not restricted to ≤30 m

Region As defined in the coastal-oceanic group

Bottom Kelp/hard: kelp and/or hard bottom Both: both hard and soft bottom

Soft: soft bottom Water: water column (bottom type unimportant)

Fishing status

Fished (targeted, recreational and/or commercial) Bycatch (not targeted but subject to fishery mortality) Unfished (no significant fishing mortality)

Note that the order of the listed criteria does not imply any priority except the three primary assemblages.

1. Studies on the species from elsewhere in the world.

- 2. Studies on species of similar size within the same genus.
- 3. Estimates from relationships between maximum-body-length and age-at-maturation.

The age-at-maturation is defined as the age at which 50% of the population reaches maturity. When the age-at-maturation was reported as a range, we used the median age. Life history data for most oceanic species are lacking and were not included in the analyses.

2.3. Data processing

The spatial coverage of CalCOFI surveys has changed through time. For consistency, we restricted our analyses to the current array of 66 stations in the SCR (Fig. 1(a)) and to samples collected in oblique tows.



Fig. 1. Maps showing (a) spatial pattern of CalCOFI stations used in this study and number of samples at each station, and (b) temporal sampling frequency.

The abundance time series for each species is composed of net tows taken from 1951 to 2002 (40 sampling years). The occupation numbers for each station are shown in Fig. 1(a). The abundance time series was calculated by taking the spatial average for each cruise, and then the annual average was calculated based on the known spawning period of each species according to Moser et al. (2001a). Note that the sampling was triennial from 1966 to 1984 (Fig. 1(b)). In constructing the time series, we assumed that spatial heterogeneity and sampling errors are insignificant after the averaging process (we shall come back to this point in Section 4).

2.4. Changes in taxonomic knowledge

Since the inception of the CalCOFI program, fish larvae have been identified to species or the lowest taxon that prevailing knowledge permitted. In the 1980s, the ability to identify larvae in the California Current region was greatly improved as a result of a concerted effort to provide better taxonomic resolution (Moser, 1996). The taxonomic history of each species is reconstructed here according to the records in the CalCOFI database, as well as the current knowledge of experts in larval fish taxonomy. Note that some species were combined early on, but later were resolved to the species level (Supplementary Table 1). For

taxonomic consistency through time, species without continuous identification records were aggregated back to the generic, familial, or even ordinal level whenever necessary in analyses.

2.5. Changes in sampling methods

There have been two major changes in ichthyoplankton sampling methods in the CalCOFI program (Ohman & Smith, 1995): (1) the depth of hauls was increased from 140 to 210 m in 1969; (2) sampling gear was changed from a 1.0-m-diameter ring net to a 0.71-m-diameter bridle-less bongo net in 1978.

The increase in sampling depth in 1969 would bias abundances toward higher estimates for taxa whose larval distributions are substantially deeper than 140 m. This change should have had little effect on the coastal and coastal-oceanic assemblages because their larval distributions are generally shallower than 140 m (Moser & Pommeranz, 1999). For the oceanic assemblage, some mesopelagic species have deeper larval distributions. We compiled the limited information from studies of vertical distributions of larvae off southern California (Ahlstrom, 1959). Among the 34 oceanic taxa examined, 13 had no information on vertical distributions, 17 had distributions shallower than 140 m, and four had distributions deeper than 140 m (Table 2). Among the four deep taxa, California flashlightfish (*Protomyctophum crockeri*) and the scopelarchids range much deeper than 210 m and the bias should be less significant. Although we have limited information on larval vertical distributions, we believe this bias is minor.

The bias of abundance estimates due to the net change should also be minor. Hewitt (1980) compared the catch efficiency of the Bongo and ring net for anchovy larvae and found no significant difference in estimated total abundance, although larger size classes were collected more effectively by the Bongo net. No similar comparison has been made for other taxa, but we assume their larvae had similar responses to the net change.

2.6. Time series of abundances

To examine climatic and fishing effects on larval fish abundances (inds./10 m²), we (1) examined species co-variation for the oceanic, coastal-oceanic, and coastal assemblages; (2) determined the effects of habitat factors (Table 1), fishing, and age-at-maturation on species co-variation; and (3) examined the relationship between abundance and environmental variables for each taxon. We chose taxa with a high-frequency of occurrence (>30 of the 40 sampling years) for our time series analysis because taxa with a lower frequency of occurrence might not be sampled representatively. Based on this criterion, 67 taxa were used in the following analyses.

Species exhibiting a significant correlation are likely driven by the same dynamics. To examine species co-variation, we computed pair-wise correlation coefficients between taxa of an assemblage. A stationary bootstrap procedure was used to compute the 95% confidence interval of the correlation coefficient and perform the hypothesis test. This approach is nonparametric and accounts for autocorrelation in the time series (Politis & Romano, 1994; Politis, 2003).

To examine the effects of habitat, fishing, and age-at-maturation on species co-variation, we calculated the number of significant correlations out of all possible comparisons within and between categories (e.g., species within the same habitat: water, kelp/hard bottom, soft bottom and both, and between different habitats). If a given categorization factor significantly affects the species co-variation, the proportion of significant correlations within categories will be higher than between categories. This was tested by Fisher's exact statistics at $\alpha = 0.05$. Age-at-maturation was categorized for the coastal and coastal-oceanic assemblages as: age 1–2, 2–3, 3–4, 3.5 and above.

In order to determine whether environmental forcing has driven the coherent fluctuations of species abundances, we investigated the relationship between abundance and environmental variables using a forward-stepwise multiple regression. Again, the stationary bootstrap test was used to account for serial

Table	2
rauce	~

	Moser and Smith (1993)	Ahlstrom (1959)
	Up-mode-low	Up-mode-low
Aristostomias scintillans		72, from 1 cruise (only one individual)
Bathylagus ochotensis	75-87.5-400	
Bathylagus pacificus		
Bathylagus wesethi	50-87.5-250	2-88-138, from 6 cruises
Ceratoscopelus townsendi	0-37.5-175	
Chauliodus macouni	0-212.5-400	105, from 2 cruises (one individual in each cruise)
Chiasmodon niger		
Cyclothone spp.	0-87.5-200	2-56-88, from 6 cruises
Diaphus theta	25-62.5-225	
Diogenichthys atlanticus	50-87.5-300	8-72-72, from one cruise
Hygophum reinhardtii		
Idiacanthus antrostomus		105-138, from 1 cruise
Melamphaes spp.	25-87.5-300 (850) ^a	56-72-138, from 6 cruise
Microstoma spp.		56-105-105, from 3 cruises
Myctophidae		,
Myctophum nitidulum		
Nannobrachium spp.	25-37.5-300	2-50-138, from 19 cruises
Nansenia candida		
Notolychnus valdiviae		
Notoscopelus resplendens		
Paralepididae	25-187.5-250	
Poromitra spp.		
Protomyctophum crockeri	175-212.5-550	
Scopelarchidae	300-1000-1000	72-138-215, from 5 cruises
Scopelogadus bispinosus		
Scopelosaurus spp.		
Stenobrachius leucopsarus	75-87.5-200	
Sternoptychidae		
Stomias atriventer	25-37.5-200	41-72, from 2 cruises
Symbolophorus californiensis	25-112.5-300	
Tactostoma macropus		
Tarletonbeania crenularis	75-137.5-400	28-56-138, from 6 cruises
Triphoturus mexicanus	0-62.5-300	,
Vinciguerria lucetia	0-62.5-125 (1000) ^a	2-41-105, from 5 cruises

Larval vertical distributions compiled from the literature showing their upper limit (up), modal depth (mode), and lower limit (low)

The taxa indicated with bold have a distribution deeper than 140 m determined by the mode.

^a For *Melamphaes* spp. and *Vinciguerria lucetia*, one extra deep sample was found but main distribution remained in the shallow layer.

dependence in the time series. We used two local variables, CalCOFI sea-surface temperature and the upwelling index, and three large-scale variables, the Southern Oscillation Index (SOI), the Pacific Decadal Oscillation Index (PDO), and the North Pacific Index (NPI), as well as their lags up to three years. The CalCOFI sea-surface temperature is based on the spatial average over our sampling domain (Fig. 1(a)). The upwelling index (Bakun, 1990) anomaly in the center of the Southern California Bight (33N, 119W) is associated with the local nutrient and hydrographic dynamics within the CalCOFI sampling domain. The SOI is based on atmospheric pressure differences between Tahiti and Darwin (Trenberth, 1984), indicating the state of the El Niño/Southern Oscillation (ENSO). The PDO is based on the first empirical orthogonal function of sea-surface temperature in the North Pacific (Mantua et al., 1997). The NPI is the area-weighted sea level pressure over the region 30N–65N, 160E–140W (Trenberth & Hurrel, 1994).

166

The PDO and NPI track the leading patterns of sea surface temperature variability and North Pacific sealevel pressure, respectively. We applied a permutation test (Manly, 1997) to determine whether there was a significant change in abundance between the cold (1951–1976) and warm (1977–1998) period for each taxon. We excluded 1999–2002 from the between-period comparisons because we cannot be sure that a transition occurred in 1999.

2.7. Constrained pair-wise comparisons

To further investigate fishing effects on exploited species, we applied "constrained comparisons": comparing exploited to unexploited species living in the same habitat and reaching maturity at about the same age. These constraints were used to minimize confounding effects. We normalized each time series (to zero mean and unit variance), and plotted the exploited (y-axis) against unexploited (x-axis) species for two periods: before and after 1976. If the two species varied coherently, the data would scatter along the 1:1 line. We assume that fluctuation of the unexploited species reflects natural variation. The exploited species would fluctuate coherently with the unexploited species (i.e., the data fall along the 1:1 line) if fishing pressure did not significantly affect natural variation. The data would fall below the 1:1 line if the population of the exploited species was greatly reduced by strong fishing pressure.

3. Results

Table 3

Coastal

Oceanic

Coastal-oceanic

3.1. The expert-knowledge classification system and three primary assemblages

0.493

0.350

0

The "expert-knowledge classification system" was used to categorize 309 fish taxa into assemblages (Supplementary Table 1). Subsequent aggregation to higher taxonomic levels in order to assure taxonomic consistency resulted in 178 taxa. Percentages of fished, bycatch, or unfished taxa in each of the three primary assemblages are summarized in Table 3, before and after taxonomic aggregation. There were no fishing-targeted species in the oceanic assemblage, except as occasional bycatch. Fewer than half of the taxa in the coastal-oceanic assemblage were targeted, but those targeted species were commercially important: northern anchovy (Engraulis mordax), Pacific hake (Merluccius productus), Pacific chub mackerel (Scomber *japonicus*), jack mackerel (*Trachurus symmetricus*), and Pacific sardine (*Sardinops sagax*). About half of the taxa in the coastal assemblage were exploited.

aggregation				
Group	Fished	Bycatch	Unfished	Number of taxa
Before aggregation				
Coastal	0.363	0.153	0.382	157
Coastal-oceanic	0.333	0	0.417	24
Oceanic	0	0.016	0.984	128
After aggregation				

0.183

0 4 5 0

0.977

71

20

87

Number of taxa and percentage of the fished, bycatch, and unfished in the three primary assemblages before and after taxonomic

0.023 The sum of each row is not equal to 1 because fishing status cannot be determined for some of the higher taxonomic complexes.

0.155

0

3.2. Species co-variation

For the time series analyses, we categorized 67 taxa with a high-frequency of occurrence into oceanic (34 taxa), coastal-oceanic (10 taxa), and coastal (23 taxa) assemblages, and then sub-categorized them into fished, bycatch, and unfished groups (Fig. 2). Note that all the oceanic taxa are unfished. To examine their



Fig. 2. Abundance time series of the taxa with a high-frequency of occurrence grouped into oceanic, coastal-oceanic-fished, coastal-oceanic-unfished, coastal-bycatch, and coastal-unfished categories. (Arrows indicate low abundances after ENSO events.)



- . ,

long-term coherence and factors affecting their co-variation, we tested pair-wise correlations between taxa within each of the three primary assemblages (Table 4). For each primary assemblage, the same matrix was reorganized according to fishing status, habitat, geographic distribution, and age-at-maturation for revealing the correlation structure. Based on Fisher's exact test, habitat depth was an important factor determining species co-variation in the oceanic assemblage (p = 0.0150) (Table 4). For the coastal-oceanic assemblage, age-at-maturation was marginally significant (p = 0.1522) (Table 4). For the coastal assemblages, age-at-maturation was the only significant factor (p = 0.0306) (Table 4).

3.3. Time series of fish abundances and climatic signals

To investigate climatic effects, we examined the relationship between fish abundances and environmental variables, and compared abundance between the cold (1951–1976) and warm (1977–1998) period. The five environmental variables that we examined are correlated. In the regression analysis, we selected variables that produced the best regression model. Among the 34 oceanic taxa, 29 increased in abundance from the cold to warm period, and 23 were correlated with the PDO index or the Cal-





COFI SST (Table 5 and Fig. 2). Mexican lampfish (*Triphoturus mexicanus*) is the only species correlated with the SOI (Table 5). Larvae of tropical-subtropical taxa consistently increased in abundance in the warm period (Table 5 and Fig. 2). One might expect decreasing abundances for subarctic-transitional taxa during the warm period, but this occurred only in blue lanternfish (*Tarletonbeania crenularis*); on the contrary, nine among the 12 subarctic-transitional taxa also increased in abundance during the warm period (Table 5 and Fig. 2). Four species, Dogtooth lampfish (*Ceratoscopelus townsendi*), Pacific blackdragon (*Idiacanthus antrostomus*), topside lampfish (*Notolychnus valdiviae*), and patchwork lampfish (*Notoscopelus resplendens*), all widely distributed from temperate to tropical regions, also increased in abundance in the warm period (Table 5 and Fig. 2). These results suggest that the fluctuations in abundance of the oceanic taxa were highly associated with the temperature pattern in the Northeast Pacific.

Among the coastal-oceanic assemblage, Pacific sardine and Pacific chub mackerel increased and medusafish (*Icichthys lockingtoni*) decreased in abundance in the warm period (Table 5 and Fig. 2). Abundance of Pacific chub mackerel was positively correlated with the PDO, jack mackerel negatively correlated with the PDO with lag two years, and medusafish positively correlated with the CalCOFI SST (Table 5). Only these three among the 10 coastal-oceanic species exhibited a significant correlation with the environmental variables examined. We did not find that unexploited species are more strongly correlated than exploited species with the environmental variables.

Among the coastal assemblage, Pacific argentine (*Argentina sialis*), kelp and sand basses (*Paralabrax* spp.), and combfishes (*Zaniolepis* spp.) increased significantly and bocaccio (*Sebastes paucispinis*) decreased significantly in abundance from the cold to warm period (Table 5 and Fig. 2). Only three among the 23 coastal species exhibited a significant correlation with environmental variables examined. Abundance of kelp and sand basses was positively correlated with the PDO, cabezon (*Scorpaenichthys marmoratus*) positively correlated with the NPI, and bocaccio negatively correlated with the CalCOFI SST (Table 5). Abundances of English sole (*Parophrys vetulus*), cabezon, aurora rockfish (*Sebastes aurora*), bocaccio, unidentified rockfishes (*Sebastes* spp.), and shortbelly rockfish (*Sebastes jordani*) fluctuated with an irregular, shorter period corresponding to ENSO events. Particularly low abundances occurred after the 1958, 1983, and 1997 El Niños (Fig. 2, indicated by arrows). Although these taxa responded similarly in timing to the ENSO events, their correlations with the SOI were not significant (Table 5 and Fig. 2). The reductions of larval abundance after the ENSO events are likely due to the low reproductive output for these years. Again, we did not find that unexploited species are more strongly correlated than exploited species with the environmental variables.

Table 4

Correlation matrices for oceanic, coastal-oceanic, and coastal assemblages showing correlations between species (1: significant; 0: otherwise)

The oceanic	group																												
Geographic r	egions:																								_				
North Pacific	Aristostomias scintillans																						Wit	hin:	7	2/14	46		
	Bathylagus ochotensis	1																					Bet	wee	n: 1	13/2	260		
	Bathylagus pacificus	1	1																				Fish	ier's	s Ex	act:	p =	0.1	509
	Ceratoscopelus townsendi	0	0	1																									
	Chauliodus macouni	1	1	1	0																								
	Diaphus theta	0	0	0	0	0																							
	Idiacanthus antrostomus	0	0	1	1	0	0																						
	Nansenia candida	0	1	0	0	1	0	0																					
	Protomyctophum crockeri	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	1																				
	Stenobrachius leucopsarus	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0																			
	Stomias atriventer	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	0																		
	Symbolophorus californiensis	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	0	1	0	1																	
	Tactostoma macropus	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0																
	Tarletonbeania crenularis	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0															
Pacific	Bathylagus wesethi	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	0														
	Hygophum reinhardtii	1	0	1	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0													
	Scopelogadus bispinosus	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0												
	Triphoturus mexicanus	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0											
	Vinciguerria lucetia	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	1										
World	Chiasmodon niger	1	0	1	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	1	0	0	1	1	1	1	1									
	Diogenichthys atlanticus	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	0	1	1	0	0	1	1	1	0	1	1								
	Microstoma spp.	0	1	0	1	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1							
	Myctophum nitidulum	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0						
	Notolychnus valdiviae	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	1	0					
	Notoscopelus resplendens	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	1	1	0	1	1				
	Poromitra spp.	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
	Scopelarchidae	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	0	1	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1		
	Scopelosaurus spp.	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	1	0	1	0	0	1	1	
	Sternoptychidae	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	1	1

Table 4 (continued)

Depth:															_								Wi	thin	: 1	12/2	214					
Migrator	Ceratoscopelus townsendi														1								Bet	wee	en: 1	05/2	251					
	Diaphus theta	0																					Fis	her'	s Ex	act:	p =	: 0.0	15			
	Hygophum reinhardtii	1	0																													
	Myctophum nitidulum	1	0	1																												
	Nannobrachium spp.	1	0	1	1																											
	Notolychnus valdiviae	1	0	1	0	1																										
	Notoscopelus resplendens	1	0	1	1	- 1	- 1																									
	Protomyctophum crockeri	1	0	1	- 1	1	1	1																								
	Stenobrachius leucopsarus	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0																							
1	Symbolophorus californiensis	1	0	1	1	1	- 1	0	- 1	0																						
	Tarletonbeania crenularis	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0																					
	Triphoturus mexicanus	1	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0																				
	Vinciguerria lucetia	1	0	1	1	1	0	1	- 1	0	0	1	1																			
Mesopelagic	Aristostomias scintillans	0	0	1	0	1	1	1	1	0	1	0	0	0																		٦
	Bathylagus ochotensis	0	0	0	0	1	- 1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1																	
	Bathylagus pacificus	1	0	- 1	0	- 1	- 1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	1																
	Bathylagus wesethi	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	1	0	0	0															
	Chauliodus macouni	0	0	0	0	1	- 1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	1	0														
	Diogenichthys atlanticus	1	0	1	1	1	- 1	1	1	0	1	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	1													
	Idiacanthus antrostomus	1	0	1	1	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	1												
	Melamphaes spp.	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	0											
	Microstoma spp.	1	0	0	0	0	- 1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	0										
	Nansenia candida	0	0	0	0	0	- 1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	1									
	Poromitra spp.	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0								
	Scopelarchidae	1	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	1	1							
	Scopelogadus bispinosus	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	0						
	Scopelosaurus spp.	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	1	1	0					
	Sternoptychidae	1	0	1	1	1	- 1	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	1	0	1				
	Stomias atriventer	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	1			
	Tactostoma macropus	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Bathypelagic	Chiasmodon niger	1	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	0	1	1	1	0	1	1	0	1	1	0	1	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	0

The coastal-oceanic group

Fishing status:

Fished	Engraulis mordax									
	Merluccius productus	0								
	Sardinops sagax	1	0							
	Scomber japonicus	0	0	0						
	Trachurus symmetricus	0	0	0	0					
Unfished	Cololabis saira	0	0	0	0	0				
	Icichthys lockingtoni	0	0	1	0	1	0			
	Leuroglossus stilbius	1	0	1	0	0	0	1		
	Tetragonurus cuvieri	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Trachipterus altivelis	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Within: 2/20 Between: 4/25 Fisher's Exact: p = 0.4473

Within: 2/21 Between: 4/24

Fisher's Exact: p = 0.4001

Geographic regions:

Broad north	Merluccius productus										
	Cololabis saira	0									
	Icichthys lockingtoni	0	0								
	Leuroglossus stilbius	0	0	1							
	Tetragonurus cuvieri	0	0	0	0						
	Trachipterus altivelis	0	0	0	0	0					
All	Engraulis mordax	0	0	0	1	0	0				
	Sardinops sagax	0	0	1	1	0	0	1			
	Scomber japonicus	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
	Trachurus symmetricus	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	

(continued on next page)

Table 4	(continued)
---------	-------------

Age-at-mat	turation:										
1	Engraulis mordax]									Within: 5/25
1.5	Cololabis saira	0									Between: 1/20
2	Sardinops sagax	1	0								Fisher's Exact: $p = 0.1522$
2	Scomber japonicus	0	0	0							
2.5	Leuroglossus stilbius	1	0	1	0						
3	Trachurus symmetricus	0	0	0	0	0					
3	Icichthys lockingtoni	0	0	1	0	1	1				
3.5	Merluccius productus	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
3.5	Tetragonurus cuvieri	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
4.5	Trachipterus altivelis	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

The coastal group Fishing status:

Fished	Microstomus pacificus																				Wit	hin:	13/89	
	Paralabrax spp.	0																			Bety	veei	n: 22/1	64
	Paralichthys californicus	0	0																		Fish	er's	Exact	: p = 0.466
	Parophrys vetulus	0	0	0																				
	Sciaenidae	0	1	1	1																			
	Scorpaenichthys marmoratus	0	0	0	0	0																		
	Sebastes aurora	0	0	0	0	0	0																	
	Sebastes paucispinis	0	0	0	1	0	0	0																
	Sebastes spp.	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	1															
	Sebastolobus spp.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0														
	Sphyraena argentea	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0													
Bycatch	Agonidae	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0]			
	Chromis punctipinnis	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0											
	Hippoglossina stomata	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1										
	Lyopsetta exilis	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0									
	Pleuronichthys verticalis	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0								
	Sebastes jordani	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0							
	Symphurus atricaudus	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0						
	Zaniolepis spp.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0					
Unfished	Argentina sialis	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1]
	Hypsoblennius spp.	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	0			
	Ophidion scrippsae	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0		
	Oxylebius pictus	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

3.4. Constrained pair-wise comparisons

Knowledge of adult habitats and age-at-maturation of coastal and coastal-oceanic species enables us to perform constrained comparisons (Fig. 3). For the coastal-oceanic assemblage, we compared northern anchovy to Pacific saury (*Cololabis saira*), both mature about age 1–2 and compared Pacific sardine and Pacific chub mackerel to California smoothtongue (*Leuroglossus stilbius*), all of which mature around age 2. No significant pattern was found in comparing northern anchovy with Pacific saury (Fig. 3(a)). In comparing the Pacific sardine and Pacific chub mackerel with California smoothtongue, most points are below the 1:1 line before 1976 and above it after 1976, indicating depletion of the exploited species before 1976 and a recovery afterward (Fig. 3(b) and (c)). In comparing jack mackerel to medusafish (both with age-at-matu-

Table 4 (continued)

Zaniolepis spp.

Sciaenidae

Agonidae

Both

Ophidion scrippsae

Geographic	regions:																								
Broad north	Lyopsetta exilis																			W	ithi	n:	13/	104	
	Microstomus pacificus	0																		Be	etwe	een:	: 17	/127	
	Parophrys vetulus	0	0																	Fis	shei	r's I	Exa	ct: p =	0.5009
	Scorpaenichthys marmoratus	0	0	0																					
	Sebastes aurora	0	0	0	0																				
	Sebastes paucispinis	0	0	1	0	0																			
	Sebastolobus spp.	0	0	0	0	0	0																		
	Agonidae	0	0	0	0	0	0	0																	
	Pleuronichthys verticalis	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0)															
	Sebastes jordani	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0)														
	Zaniolepis spp.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0) ()	0													
	Argentina sialis	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0) ()	0	1												
	Oxylebius pictus	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0) ()	0	0	0					_						
Bight	Chromis punctipinnis	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0) ()	0	0	0	0										
	Hippoglossina stomata	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0) 1		0	0	0	0	1									
	Ophidion scrippsae	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0) ()	1	0	0	0	1	0								
Broad south	Paralabrax spp.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0) 1		1	0	0	0	0	1	0							
	Paralichthys californicus	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0) 1		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0)					
	Sciaenidae	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0) 1		1	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	. 1	1				
	Hypsoblennius spp.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0) 1		1	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	. ()	1			
	Sphyraena argentea	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0) ()	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	. ()	0	0		
All	Symphurus atricaudus	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0) 1		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0) ()	0	0	0	
Bottom habi	itats:																								
Water	Microstomus pacificus																			W	ithi	n:	7/	67	
	Sebastes paucispinis	0																		Be	etwo	een	: 22	/165	
	Sphyraena argentea	0	0																	Fi	she	r's l	Exa	ct: p =	0.3587
	Sebastes jordani	0	0	0																					
	Argentina sialis	0	0	1	0																				
Kelp/Hard	Paralabrax spp.	0	0	1	0	0						1													
-	Scorpaenichthys marmoratus	0	0	0	1	0	0																		
	Chromis punctipinnis	0	0	0	0	0	0	0																	
	Hypsoblennius spp.	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1																
	Oxylebius pictus	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0															
Soft	Lyopsetta exilis	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0														
	Paralichthys californicus	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0													
	Parophrys vetulus	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0												
	Sebastes aurora	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0)										
	Sebastolobus spp.	0	Ũ	0	0	Ő	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0) ()										
	Hippoglossina stomata	0	0	0	0	Ő	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0) ()	0									
	Pleuronichthys verticalis	1	0	0	0	Ő	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	1								
	Symphurus atricaudus	0	0	0	Õ	Ő	1	0	0	1	Ő		0		0	Ő	0	1							

(continued on next page)

0

0

0 0

0

0

ration around three years), the data fell along the 1:1 line, suggesting that jack mackerel was under light fishing pressure (Fig. 3(d)). This result agrees with Mason and Bishop's (2001) report on the status of the fishery.

0 0 0 0 0

 $0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0$

0 0

0 0 0 0 0 0 0

1 1 1

0

1 1

0

0

0 1 0 0 1 0

0 0 0 0 0 0

0

1

0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 0

0 0 0 0 1 0

 $0 \ 0 \ 1 \ 0$

0 0 0

 $0 \ 0 \ 0$

For the coastal assemblage, an exploited flatfish, English sole, was compared with three bycatch flatfishes, bigmouth sole (*Hippoglossina stomata*), slender sole (*Lyopsetta exilis*), and hornyhead turbot

Table 4	(continued)
---------	-------------

Age-at-matur	ation:																						
1	Symphurus atricaudus																		With	in: 15/3	81		
1	Hypsoblennius spp.	1																	Betw	een: 7/	90		
1	Ophidion scrippsae	1	0																Fishe	er's Exa	ct: p =	= 0.03	06
2	Sphyraena argentea	0	0	1																			
2	Chromis punctipinnis	0	1	1	0																		
2.5	Zaniolepis spp.	0	0	0	0	0																	
2.5	Argentina sialis	0	0	0	1	0	1																
3	Lyopsetta exilis	0	0	0	0	0	0	0															
3	Hippoglossina stomata	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0														
3	Sebastes jordani	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0													
3	Oxylebius pictus	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0												
4	Paralabrax spp.	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0											
4	Parophrys vetulus	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0										
4	Sebastes paucispinis	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1									
4	Pleuronichthys verticalis	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	0								
4.5	Paralichthys californicus	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1							
4.5	Scorpaenichthys marmorat	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0						
5	Sebastes aurora	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0					
5.5	Microstomus pacificus	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	_			

Matrices are organized according to adult habitat, geographic distribution, fishing status, or age-at-maturation. Number of significant correlations out of all possible comparisons within and between categories are computed. Fisher's exact test is applied to determine whether the factor is affecting the species correlation structure.

(*Pleuronichthys verticalis*). All four are associated with soft bottom and mature around age 3–4. In the comparison with bigmouth sole, no pattern was found (Fig. 3(e)). The comparisons with slender sole and hornyhead turbot suggested that English sole was not under very strong fishing pressure in the SCB (Fig. 3(f) and (g)), as would be expected given that the fishery is primarily north of Point Conception and that females begin spawning at a smaller size than that targeted by the fishery (Pearson, Owen, & Thomas, 2001). In comparing bocaccio to shortbelly rockfish (both living in the water column, primarily over rocky bottom, and with age-at-maturation of 4 and 3 years, respectively), we found that these species fluctuated coherently before1976. However, most data points fell below the 1:1 line after 1976, indicating depletion of bocaccio (Fig. 3(h)). This supports Ralston's (1998) stock assessments for a group of rockfish species showing that the bocaccio biomass has been declining since 1970.

4. Discussion

4.1. Time series of fish abundances and species co-variation

Long-term variability in abundance of the oceanic species of larval fish taken in the CalCOFI surveys was strongly affected by climate. Among these taxa, 85% increased in abundance from the cold to warm period and 71% exhibited a significant relationship with environmental signals (Table 5). Increased abundance of oceanic taxa during the warm period is most likely due to movement of adults into the CalCOFI sampling grid, although increased reproductive effort or larval survival may play a role. Bograd and Lynn (2003) examined long-term variability in the southern California Current system and suggested more frequent incursion of the central gyre water into the offshore part of the CalCOFI grid during the warm per-

Table 5

Average larval abundances in the cold (1951–1976) and warm (1976–1998) periods and selected environmental variables in the regression model for each taxon

Species	Mean abund	ances	Permutation					
	1951–1976	1977-1998	p-Value ^a	Selected variables ^b	Distribution ^c			
Oceanic								
Aristostomias scintillans	0.080	0.239	0.001	PDO lag1(+)	Subarctic-transitional			
Bathylagus ochotensis	3.171	7.958	0.002	NS	Subarctic-transitional			
Bathylagus pacificus	0.223	0.658	0.001	PDO lag1(+)	Subarctic-transitional			
Bathylagus wesethi	2.335	4.774	0.004	NS	Transitional			
Ceratoscopelus townsendi	0.747	4.611	0.001	PDO $lag1(+)$, NPI(-)	Temperate to tropical			
Chauliodus macouni	0.388	0.661	0.006	NS	Subarctic-transitional			
Chiasmodon niger	0.043	0.189	0.001	PDO(+)	Tropical-subtropical			
Cyclothone spp.	1.113	5.296	0.001	PDO lag1(+)	· ·			
Diaphus theta	1.786	1.689	0.793	CalCOFI SST(-)	Subarctic-transitional			
Diogenichthys atlanticus	0.765	3.358	0.001	PDO lag1(+)	Tropical-subtropical			
Hygophum reinhardtii	0.091	0.288	0.001	CalCOFI SST(+)	Subtropical			
Idiacanthus antrostomus	0.408	1.127	0.001	CalCOFI SST(+)	Temperate to tropical			
Melamphaes spp.	0.817	1.228	0.013	PDO(+)				
Microstoma spp.	0.198	0.397	0.001	PDO lag1(+)	Subarctic-transitional			
Myctophidae	0.483	0.819	0.015	NS				
Myctophum nitidulum	0.079	0.264	0.001	PDO(+)	Tropical-subtropical			
Nannobrachium spp.	2.837	5.532	0.001	PDO lag1(+)	* *			
Nansenia candida	0.235	0.457	0.049	NS	Subarctic-transitional			
Notolychnus valdiviae	0.062	0.228	0.001	PDO lag1(+)	Temperate to tropical			
Notoscopelus resplendens	0.121	0.325	0.001	CalCOFI SST(+)	Temperate to tropical			
Paralepididae	0.495	1.009	0.001	PDO lag1(+), NPI(-)				
Poromitra spp.	0.143	0.209	0.062	NS	Cosmopolitan			
Protomyctophum crockeri	1.627	4.327	0.001	PDO lag1(+)	Subarctic-transitional			
Scopelarchidae	0.153	0.605	0.001	PDO lag1(+)				
Scopelogadus bispinosus	0.130	0.247	0.016	NS	Tropical			
Scopelosaurus spp.	0.081	0.195	0.001	PDO(+)	-			
Stenobrachius leucopsarus	24.910	24.224	0.887	NS	Subarctic-transitional			
Sternoptychidae	0.389	1.770	0.001	PDO lag1(+)				
Stomias atriventer	0.207	0.469	0.004	PDO	Tropical			
Symbolophorus californiensis	0.989	2.299	0.001	NS	Subarctic-transitional			
Tactostoma macropus	0.090	0.170	0.100	NS	Subarctic-transitional			
Tarletonbeania crenularis	2.603	0.959	0.005	CalCOFI SST(-)	Subarctic-transitional			
Triphoturus mexicanus	3.708	5.396	0.038	SOI(-)	Subtropical			
Vinciguerria lucetia	4.039	47.538	0.001	CalCOFI SST(+)	Tropical			
Coastal-oceanic-fished								
Engraulis mordax	253 529	238 249	0.827	NS	All			
Merluccius productus	78 507	126 365	0.326	NS	Broad north			
Sardinons sagax	3 382	30 130	0.002	NS	All			
Scomber janonicus	0.587	6 228	0.001	PDO(+)	All			
Trachurus symmetricus	11.219	6.929	0.118	PDO $lag2(-)$	All			
Coastal occupia fished								
Cololabia aging	0.160	0.242	0.200	NC	Drood north			
Leighthus logkingtoni	0.109	0.242	0.280	INS CalCOELSST(_)	Broad north			
Lauraglassus stilling	0.925	0.304	0.004		Broad north			
Leurogiossus stitotus	41.155	20.741	0.080	INS NIC	Broad north			
Trachintorus altinolis	0.340	0.332	0.570	NS	Broad north			
1 racmpterus attivetis	0.1/8	0.10/	0.094	110	DI OAU HOTTI			
Coastal-fished								
Microstomus pacificus	0.236	0.425	0.144	NS	Broad north			
Paralabrax spp.	0.465	1.770	0.026	PDO(+)	Broad south (continued on next page)			

Table 5 (continued)

Species	Mean abundan	nces	Permutation					
	1951–1976	1977–1998	p-Value ^a	Selected variables ^b	Distribution ^c			
Paralichthys californicus	0.326	0.495	0.129	NS	Broad south			
Parophrys vetulus	0.699	0.610	0.793	NS	Broad north			
Sciaenidae	3.075	6.654	0.078	NS	Broad south			
Scorpaenichthys marmoratus	0.194	0.164	0.811	NPI(+)	Broad north			
Sebastes aurora	0.464	0.347	0.445	NS	Broad north			
Sebastes paucispinis	3.491	1.377	0.001	CalCOFI SST(-)	Broad north			
Sebastes spp.	27.732	28.478	0.631	NS				
Sebastolobus spp.	0.383	0.467	0.815	NS	Broad north			
Sphyraena argentea	0.382	0.792	0.120	NS	Broad south			
Coastal-bycatch								
Agonidae	0.145	0.225	0.062	NS	Broad north			
Chromis punctipinnis	0.879	0.942	0.866	NS	Bight			
Hippoglossina stomata	0.127	0.165	0.168	NS	Bight			
Lyopsetta exilis	0.513	0.785	0.219	NS	Broad north			
Pleuronichthys verticalis	0.256	0.376	0.122	NS	Broad north			
Sebastes jordani	11.165	9.909	0.613	NS	Broad north			
Symphurus atricaudus	0.408	0.295	0.562	NS	All			
Zaniolepisspp.	0.128	0.290	0.001	NS	Broad north			
Coastal-unfished								
Argentina sialis	0.343	1.139	0.001	NS	Broad north			
Hypsoblennius spp.	0.711	0.964	0.426	NS	Broad south			
Ophidion scrippsae	0.198	0.128	0.255	NS	Bight			
Oxylebius pictus	0.106	0.111	0.859	NS	Broad north			

A permutation test is applied to determine whether there was a significant difference in abundance between the warm and cold periods. CalCOFI SST: the CalCOFI sea-surface temperature (www.calcofi.org/data/data.html).

Upwelling: the upwelling index anomaly (33N, 119W) (www.pfeg.noaa.gov/products/PFEL/modeled/indices/upwelling/upwelling.html); SOI: the Southern Oscillation Index (www.cgd.ucar.edu/cas/catalog/climind/soi.html); PDO: the Pacific Decadal Oscillation Index (www.jisao.washington.edu/pdo/PDO.latest); NPI: the North Pacific Index (www.cgd.ucar.edu/~jhurrell/np.html); NS: no significant variable was selected.

^a Bold indicating statistical significant at $\alpha = 0.05$ for the permutation test.

^b The selected variables are listed in the order of explanatory power for each taxon Signs (+) and(-) indicate significant positive and negative correlation, respectively.

^c Specific distributions are given for the oceanic taxa based on Moser (1996). For other taxa, distributions are defined in Table 1. Blanks indicate that the specific distribution cannot be decided for some higher taxonomic complexes.

iod. The association of oceanic species and water masses is well known (Moser et al., 2001a; Moser, Smith, & Eber, 1987; Smith & Moser, 2003). Eastward incursion of the central gyre could bring more oceanic fishes closer to shore and therefore into the CalCOFI domain. Significantly increased abundance of many oceanic taxa during the warm period suggests that these species are indicators of climate-driven change in circulation in the SCB. Although the physical mechanism is not fully understood at this time, these species can serve as indicators of the change in circulation we have described and hence can be useful in monitoring climate effects in the California Current Ecosystem.

The oceanic species within the mesopelagic assemblage often co-varied, and so did the species within the vertical-migrating assemblage (Table 4). Significant co-variation of species between the mesopelagic and vertical-migrating assemblages is less frequent than that within assemblage (p = 0.0150, based on Fisher's exact test). The oceanic species appeared to react to different environmental signals depending on whether they migrate or not. It is likely that the mesopelagic species only experienced the deep-water environment, while the migrating species responded to environmental signals of the whole upper water column.



Fig. 3. Scatter plots of selected exploited (y-axis) versus unexploited (x-axis) species living in the same habitat and reaching maturity at about the same age (circles, data before 1976; stars, data after 1976). Each time series was standardized to zero mean and unit variance. If the two species varied coherently, the data scatter along the 1:1 line. The data fall below the 1:1 line when the population size of the exploited species was greatly reduced by strong fishing pressure.

Among the coastal-oceanic taxa, two exploited species, Pacific sardine and Pacific chub mackerel, increased in abundance in the warm period (Table 5 and Fig. 2). However, it is not clear to what extent these recoveries were associated with climate or with reduction in fishing effort. Declining abundance of jack mackerel and medusafish in the warm period (Table 5 and Fig. 2) might be due to declining food availability (zooplankton biomass) during the warm period (Roemmich & McGowan, 1995a, 1995b), but there was no consistent pattern for other species. Among the coastal taxa, some species responded to ENSO or decadal-scale climatic signals, but others showed coherent trends without any correlation with the environmental signals examined (Tables 4 and 5, and Fig. 2).

We hypothesized before the analysis that fluctuations in abundances of unexploited taxa would follow climatic trends, and those of exploited taxa would not, or at least would do so less distinctly, because of overwhelming effects of strong fishing mortality. Significant correlations between the environmental variables and larval fish abundances were found for 71% of the oceanic taxa (Table 5), but no fisheries exist for the oceanic taxa. The abundances of coastal and coastal-oceanic taxa, which contain both exploited and unexploited species, were less often correlated with environmental variables than were oceanic species. In addition, unexploited coastal and coastal-oceanic species were no more likely to be correlated with environmental variables than were exploited ones (Table 5). Only 18% of the coastal and coastal-oceanic species responded clearly to environmental signals, suggesting that these species may respond to environmental signals in a nonlinear way. Dixon, Milicich, and Sugihara (1999) showed that episodic larval fish recruitment requires nonlinear combinations of unrelated forcings: lunar phase, turbulence and wind direction. Analogously, high fish abundance in the CalCOFI time series can be a result of several factors (e.g., food availability, temperature, advection, and others) acting simultaneously.

Among the coastal and coastal-oceanic taxa, species that reached maturity at about the same age fluctuated coherently (Table 4), possibly in response to the same environmental signals. In a year of good oceanic conditions, high recruitment success can result in a strong year-class, which may sustain the population for several years. This has been observed in Pacific hake (Quirollo, Wespestad, & Dorn, 2001) and sockeye salmon (Ricker, 1997) as well as freshwater fishes (Townsend, 1989). The quasi-cycles seen in some of the fish populations in the CalCOFI time series could be due to interaction between age-at-maturation and environmental conditions. Interestingly, not all of these coherent fluctuations responded to ENSO events. It is likely that critical conditions of both biological and physical factors need to be met simultaneously so that a strong year class can be established. A better understanding of the interplay between biological and physical factors should help to shed light on the mechanisms.

4.2. A comparison with larval recurrent groups

Previous studies of larval fish assemblages in the CalCOFI domain were based on species co-occurrence (Loeb, Smith, & Moser, 1983a, 1983b; Moser & Smith, 1993; Moser et al., 1987). Recurrent group analyses have been widely used to study larval assemblages (Moser, Smith, & Fuiman, 1993). We compared the larval recurrent groups of Moser et al. (1987) with our expert-knowledge classification system for adult fishes (Table 6). Their southern complex consists mainly of oceanic species, their southern coastal complex contains four coastal species, and their northern complex reflects northern species based on adult distributions, except for Gulf sanddab (*Citharichthys fragilis*), longfin sanddab (*Citharichthys xanthostigma*), Pacific sardine, and Pacific chub mackerel; their southern complex also is not entirely consistent with known adult distributions. Clearly, species co-occurring in their larval stage frequently live in different habitats as adults. Larval distributions are determined by advection, diffusion and buoyancy, and to a lesser extent

Table 6

Recurrent groups	Expert knowledge classification system
Northern complex Leuroglossus group Leuroglossus stilbius Merluccius productus Sebastes spp. Bathylagus ochotensis Stenobrachius leucopsarus	Coastal-oceanic, broad north Coastal-oceanic, broad north Coastal Oceanic, North Pacific, mesopelagic Oceanic, North Pacific, migrator
Tarletonbeania group Tarletonbeania crenularis Icichthys lockingtoni	Oceanic, North Pacific, migrator Coastal-oceanic, broad north
Citharichthys group Engraulis mordax Citharichthys fragilis Citharichthys xanthostigma	Coastal-oceanic, broad north Offshore, broad south, soft Offshore, broad south, soft
Sardinops group Sardinops sagax Scomber japonicus	Coastal-oceanic, all Coastal-oceanic, all
Southern complex Symbolophorus group Bathylagus wesethi Symbolophorus californiensis Nannobrachium ritteri Cyclothone spp. Diogenichthys atlanticus Triphoturus group Triphoturus mexicanus Protomyctophum crockeri	Oceanic, North Pacific, mesopelagic Oceanic, North Pacific, migrator Oceanic, Pacific, migrator Oceanic, world Oceanic, world, mesopelagic Oceanic, Pacific, migrator Oceanic, North Pacific, migrator
Trachurus symmetricus Ceratoscopelus group Ceratoscopelus townsendi Lampadena urophaos	Coastal-oceanic, all Oceanic, North Pacific, migrator Oceanic, North Pacific, bathypelagic
Vinciguerria group Gonichthys tenuiculus Hygophum atratum Diogenichthys laternatus Vinciguerria lucetia	Oceanic, North Pacific, migrator Oceanic, North Pacific, migrator Oceanic, Pacific, mesopelagic Oceanic, North Pacific, migrator
Southern coastal complex Synodus group Ophidion scrippsae Symphurus atricaudus Synodus lucioceps Prionotus spp.	Nearshore, Bight, soft Offshore, all, soft Offshore, broad south, soft Offshore, south, soft

A comparison between the larval recurrent groups (Moser et al., 1987) and the assemblages described by the "expert-knowledge classification system"

by adult spawning habitats. In addition, the integrated tows used in CalCOFI surveys "smear" the vertical distributions of the larvae. Therefore, constructing fish assemblages based on adult habitat is a step forward to understanding how climatic and fishing effects influence the organization of fish communities.

4.3. Potential and limitation of the community approach

As our ideas move away from single species fisheries management strategies to ecosystem-based approaches to management, long-term monitoring data sets will become more important. They will provide an historical context for strategies to balance ecosystem effects derived from fishing and from environmental change. Approaches based on retrospective analyses of long-term monitoring need to be developed.

Few marine monitoring programs are as extensive as the CalCOFI, and thus its data set provides a unique opportunity to examine techniques to separating the effects on fish populations of fisheries exploitation from those of the environment. This is the first time that larval fish data have been used to investigate this question. A key element of such analyses is the constrained comparison of the abundances of paired exploited and unexploited species within a habitat class and reaching maturity at about the same age. To achieve such comparisons, we constructed the expert-knowledge classification system (Supplementary Table 1) to identify habitat class, and compiled data on age-at-maturation (Supplementary Table 2) for each species. Our ability to perform such comparisons was enhanced by the fact that the CalCOFI time series contain both exploited and unexploited species and provide data of sufficient length.

We found evidence for strong fishing pressure on Pacific sardine and Pacific chub mackerel before 1976 (Fig. 3(b) and (c)) and on bocaccio after 1976 (Fig. 3(h)) when we performed the constrained comparisons. Jack mackerel and English sole appear to have been much more lightly exploited, and remain in a good condition (Fig. 3(d), (f), and (g)). These results are consistent with the current understanding of the status of the fish populations according to anecdotal information and rigorous stock assessments (Mason & Bishop, 2001; Pearson et al., 2001; Ralston, 1998). Our comparative approach reveals patterns of fishing effects on fish populations for six of eight comparisons (Fig. 3). One should keep in mind that a perfect species pair (one exploited and the other unexploited) is unlikely to exist. Incorporating other life history traits in addition to habitat and age-at-maturation will help to interpret the dynamics of fish populations. For example, fecundity, growth rate, trophic level, and other traits might influence the response of fish populations to environmental signals and fishing effects (King & McFarlane, 2003).

In addition to a lack of information for many fish species, spatial heterogeneity and sampling errors intrinsically associated with plankton surveys also cause difficulty. To examine this, we computed spatial variance of fish abundance. We used coefficient of variation to represent spatial variance associated with each CalCOFI cruise (CV_{cr}) for each of the selected species in the constrained comparisons. The annual mean coefficient of variation (CV_{yr}) was computed as follows:

$$CV_{yr} = \frac{\sum_{cr=1}^{k} CV_{cr} \times x_{cr}}{\sum_{cr=1}^{k} x_{cr}},$$

where CV_{cr} and x_{cr} are the coefficient of variation and the mean abundance of a given cruise, respectively, and k is the total number of cruises of the year. As such, the CV_{yr} was calculated from abundance-weighted CV_{cr} to account for the seasonal variation. The long-term (1951–2002) arithmetic mean CV_{yr} ranges from 1.8 to 5.7 (Table 7), indicating that the CalCOFI time series have high variance. This variance consists of spatial heterogeneity and sampling errors. Spatial heterogeneity can be caused by predation, food supply, advection, specific bottom features and other factors. Although the spatial variance is high, our averaging process within the sampling grid should have resulted in time series that reasonably estimated long-term trends of abundance. Our finding of a significant relationship between species co-variation and their ageat-maturation is one validation (Table 4), and the trend of larval fish abundance following fisheries data for some species is another (Moser et al., 2000, 2001b; Moser & Watson, 1990). Larval abundance time series are a good indicator of adult biomass for some species but might be extremely noisy for others.

Another constraint of the method was the lack of data on the life history of unexploited species. Constrained comparisons of exploited and unexploited species can be a powerful tool for separating fishing Table 7

Species	Average spatial CV	SD	
Cololabis saira	5.5074	1.4855	
Engraulis mordax	2.3822	0.8696	
Sardinops sagax	4.3384	1.5136	
Scomber japonicus	4.8103	1.351	
Trachurus symmetricus	3.1289	0.7697	
Icichthys lockingtoni	4.0586	1.5156	
Leuroglossus stilbius	1.8604	0.3208	
Lyopsetta exilis	3.9908	1.0081	
Parophrys vetulus	4.8183	1.498	
Hippoglossina stomata	5.7165	1.2908	
Pleuronichthys verticalis	5.6114	1.4095	
Sebastes paucispinis	3.2811	1.2734	
Sebastes jordani	3.1502	1.0649	

The long-term (1951–2002) average spatial coefficient of variation (CV) of larval fish abundances for the species used in the pair-wise comparisons

Standard deviation (SD) was calculated from annual CVs.

from environmental effects, but this tool is effective only if adequate life history data exist. Unfortunately, life history data on noncommercial species are rare, and hence data from proxy species or a general relationship must be used, adding variance and decreasing the power of the analysis. Regardless of the problems, the noisy data and lack of life history information, this study indicates that adult-based analysis of ichthyoplankton time series has great potential as a way to evaluate the long-term effects of fishing and the environment on fish communities.

Acknowledgments

We gratefully acknowledge those who devoted their efforts to the CalCOFI program. We thank R. Charter for his help on the ichthyoplankton database, and G. Moser and M. Ohman for insightful comments. This work is supported by NOAA, the Edna Bailey Sussman Fund, and NSF/LTER CCE: Nonlinear Transitions in the California Current Coastal Pelagic Ecosystem.

Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data associated with this article can be found, in the online version, at doi:10.1016/j.pocean.2005.05.002.

References

Adams, P. B. (1980). Life history patterns in marine fishes and their consequences for fisheries management. *Fishery Bulletin US*, 78, 1–12.

Ahlstrom, E. H. (1959). Vertical distribution of pelagic fish eggs and larvae off California and Baja California. *Fishery Bulletin US, 60*, 107–146.

Allen, M. J. (1982). Functional structure of soft-bottom fish communities of the southern California shelf. PhD thesis, Scripps Institution of Oceanography, La Jolla, USA, unpublished.

Bakun, A. (1990). Global climate change and intensification of coastal ocean upwelling. Science, 247, 198-201.

- Beamish, R. J., Neville, C. M., & Cass, A. (1997). Production of Fraser River sockeye salmon (Oncorhynchus nerka) in relation to decadal-scale changes in the climate and the ocean. Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Science, 54, 543–554.
- Bograd, S. J., & Lynn, R. J. (2003). Long-term variability in the Southern California Current System. *Deep Sea Research Part 2, 50*, 2355–2370.
- Brinton, E., & Townsend, A. (2003). Decadal variability in abundances of the dominant euphausiid species in southern sectors of the California Current. Deep Sea Research Part 2, 50, 2449–2472.
- Browman, H. I., & Stergiou, K. I. (2004). Perspectives on ecosystem-based approaches to the management of marine resources. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, 274, 269–303.
- Chavez, F. P., Ryan, J., Lluch-Cota, S. E., & Niquen, M. (2003). From anchovies to sardines and back: multidecadal change in the Pacific Ocean. Science, 299, 217–221.
- Dixon, P. A., Milicich, M. J., & Sugihara, G. (1999). Episodic fluctuations in larval supply. Science, 283, 1528–1530.
- Fiedler, P. C., Methot, R. D., & Hewitt, R. P. (1986). Effects of California El Niño 1982–1984 on the northern anchovy. Journal of Marine Research, 44, 317–338.
- Garcia, S. M., Zerbi, A., Aliaume, C., Do Chi, T., & Lasserre, G. (2003). The ecosystem approach to fisheries. Issues, terminology, principles, institutional foundations, implementation and outlook. *FAO Fisheries Technical Paper*, 443, 1–71.
- Gunderson, D. R. (1993). Surveys of fisheries resources. New York: Wiley.
- Hare, S. R., & Mantua, N. J. (2000). Empirical evidence for North Pacific regime shifts in 1977 and 1989. *Progress in Oceanography*, 47, 103–145.
- Hewitt, R. P. (1980). Distributional atlas of fish larvae in the California Current region: northern anchovy, *Engralis mordax* Girard, 1966 through 1979. *California Cooperative Oceanic Fisheries Investigations Atlas*, 28, 1–101.
- Hewitt, R. P. (1988). Historical review of the oceanographic approach to fishery research. California Cooperative Oceanic Fisheries Investigations Reports, 29, 27-41.
- Horn, M. (1980). Diversity and ecological roles of noncommercial fishes. California Cooperative Oceanic Fisheries Investigations Reports, 21, 37–47.
- Horn, M., & Allen, L. G. (1978). A distributional analysis of California coastal marine fishes. Journal of Biogeography, 5, 23-42.
- Horn, M., & Allen, L. G. (1985). Fish community ecology in southern California bays and estuaries. In A. Yanez-Arancibia (Ed.), Fish community ecology in estuaries and coastal lagoons – towards an ecosystem integration (pp. 169–190). Mexico: UNAM Press.
- Jacobson, L. D., De Oliveira, J. A. A., Barange, M., Cisneros-Mata, M. A., Felix-Uraga, R., Hunter, J. R., et al. (2001). Surplus production, variability, and climate change in the great sardine and anchovy fisheries. *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Science*, 58, 1891–1903.
- King, J. R., & McFarlane, G. A. (2003). Marine fish life history strategies: applications to fishery management. Fisheries Management and Ecology, 10, 249–264.
- Lavaniegos, B. E., & Ohman, M. D. (2003). Long-term changes in pelagic tunicates of the California Current. *Deep Sea Research Part* 2, 50, 2473–2498.
- Loeb, V. J., Smith, P. E., & Moser, H. G. (1983a). Geographical and seasonal patterns of larval fish species structure in the California Current area, 1975. *California Cooperative Oceanic Fisheries Investigations Reports*, 24, 132–151.
- Loeb, V. J., Smith, P. E., & Moser, H. G. (1983b). Recurrent groups of larval fish species in the California Current area. California Cooperative Oceanic Fisheries Investigations Reports, 24, 152–164.
- Manly, B. F. J. (1997). Randomization, bootstrap and Monte Carlo methods in biology. London: Chapman & Hall.
- Mantua, N. J., Hare, S. R., Zhang, Y., Wallace, J. M., & Francis, R. C. (1997). A Pacific interdecadal climate oscillation with impacts on salmon production. *Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society*, 78, 1069–1079.
- Mason, J., & Bishop, T. (2001). Jack mackerel. In W. S. Leet, C. M. Dewees, R. Klingbeil, & E. J. Larson (Eds.), California's living marine resources: A status report (pp. 309–311). Oakland: California Department of Fish and Game.
- McFarlane, G. A., Smith, P. E., Baumgartner, T. R., & Hunter, J. R. (2002). Climate variability and Pacific sardine populations and fisheries. *American Fisheries Society Symposium*, 32, 195–214.
- McGowan, J. A., Bograd, S. J., Lynn, R. J., & Miller, A. J. (2003). The biological response to the 1977 regime shift in the California Current. *Deep Sea Research Part 2, 50,* 2567–2582.
- Miller, D. J., & Lea, R. N. (1972). Guide to the coastal marine fishes of California. California Department of Fish and Game Fish Bulletin, 157, 1–235.
- Moser, H. G. (1996). The early stages of fishes in the California Current region. *California Cooperative Oceanic Fisheries Investigations Atlas*, 33, 1–1505.
- Moser, H. G., Charter, R. L., Smith, P. E., Ambrose, D. A., Watson, W., Charter, S. R., et al. (2001a). Distributional atlas of fish larvae and eggs in the Southern California Bight region: 1951-1998. *California Cooperative Oceanic Fisheries Investigations Atlas*, 34, 1–166.
- Moser, H. G., Charter, R. L., Watson, W., Ambrose, D. A., Butler, J. L., Charter, S. R., et al. (2000). Abundance and distribution of rockfish (*Sebastes*) larvae in the southern California Bight in relation to environmental conditions and fisheries exploitation. *California Cooperative Oceanic Fisheries Investigations Reports*, 41, 132–147.

- Moser, H. G., Charter, R. L., Watson, W., Ambrose, D. A., Hill, K. T., Smith, P. E., et al. (2001b). The CalCOFI ichthyoplankton time series: potential contributions to the management of rocky-shore fishes. *California Cooperative Oceanic Fisheries Investigations Reports*, 42, 112–128.
- Moser, H. G., & Pommeranz, T. (1999). Vertical distribution of eggs and larvae of northern anchovy, *Engraulis mordax*, and of the larvae of associated fishes at two sites in the Southern California Bight. *Fishery Bulletin US*, 97, 920–943.
- Moser, H. G., & Smith, P. E. (1993). Larval fish assemblages of the California current region and their horizontal and vertical distributions across a front. *Bulletin of Marine Science*, 53, 645–691.
- Moser, H. G., Smith, P. E., & Eber, L. E. (1987). Larval fish assemblages in the California Current region, 1954–1960, a period of dynamic environmental change. *California Cooperative Oceanic Fisheries Investigations Reports*, 28, 97–127.
- Moser, H. G., Smith, P. E., & Fuiman, L. A. (1993). Advances in the early life history of fishes. Part 1. Larval fish assemblages and ocean boundaries. *Bulletin of Marine Science*, 53, 283–722.
- Moser, H. G., & Watson, W. (1990). Distribution and abundance of early life history stages of the California halibut, *Paralichthys californicus*, and comparison with the fantail sole, *Xystreurys liolepis*. *California Department of Fish and Game Fish Bulletin*, 174, 31–70.
- NOAA. (1999). Ecosystem-based fishery management. A report to Congress by the ecosystem principles advisory panel. NOAA Fisheries, US Department of Commerce, Washington, DC.
- Ohman, M. D., & Venrick, E. L. (2003). CalCOFI in a changing ocean. Oceanography, 16, 76-85.
- Ohman, M. D., & Smith, P. E. (1995). A comparison of zooplankton sampling methods in the CalCOFI time series. *California Cooperative Oceanic Fisheries Investigations Reports*, 36, 153–158.
- Pearson, D. E., Owen, S. L., & Thomas, D. (2001). English sole. In W. S. Leet, C. M. Dewees, R. Klingbeil, & E. J. Larson (Eds.), California's living marine resources: A status report (pp. 384–385). Oakland: California Department of Fish and Game.
- Peterman, R. M., Bradford, M. J., Lo, N. C. H., & Methot, R. D. (1988). Contribution of early life stages to interannual variability in recruitment of northern anchovy (*Engraulis mordax*). Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Science, 45, 8–16.
- Peterson, W. T., & Schwing, F. B. (2003). A new climate regime in northeast pacific ecosystems. *Geophysical Research Letters, 30*, OCE 6-1–6-4.
- Pierce, D. W. (2001). Distinguishing coupled ocean-atmospheric interactions from background noise in the North Pacific. Progress in Oceanography, 49, 331–352.
- Pikitch, E. K., Santora, C., Babcock, E. A., Bakun, A., Bonfil, R., Conover, D. O., et al. (2004). Ecosystem-based fishery management. Science, 305, 346–347.
- Politis, D. N., & Romano, J. P. (1994). The stationary bootstrap. Journal of the American Statistical Association, 89, 1303–1313.
- Politis, D. N. (2003). The impact of bootstrap methods on time series analysis. Statistical Science, 18, 219-230.
- Quirollo, L. F., Wespestad, V. G., & Dorn, M. W. (2001). Pacific hake. In W. S. Leet, C. M. Dewees, R. Klingbeil, & E. J. Larson (Eds.), *California's living marine resources: A status report* (pp. 393–397). Oakland: California Department of Fish and Game.
- Ralston, S. (1998). The status of federally managed rockfish in the U.S. West Coast. In M. M. Yoklavich, Marine Harvest Refugia For West Coast Rockfish: Workshop (pp. 6–16). National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration Technical Memorandum, National Marine Fisheries Service, Southwest Fisheries Science Center-255.
- Ricker, W. E. (1997). Cycles of abundance among Fraser River sockeye salmon Oncorhynchus nerka. Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Science, 54, 950–968.
- Roemmich, D., & McGowan, J. A. (1995a). Climatic warming and the decline of zooplankton in the California Current. Science, 267, 1324–1326.
- Roemmich, D., & McGowan, J. A. (1995b). Sampling zooplankton: correction. Science, 268, 352–353.
- Rudnick, D. L., & Davis, C. S. (2003). Red noise and regime shifts. Deep Sea Research Part 1, 50, 691-699.
- Smith, P. E., & Moser, H. G. (2003). Long-term trends and variability in the larvae of Pacific sardine and associated fish species of the California Current region. Deep Sea Research Part 2, 50, 2519–2536.
- Townsend, C. R. (1989). Population cycles in freshwater fish. Journal of Fish Biology, 35(Suppl. A), 125-131.
- Trenberth, K. E. (1984). Signal versus noise in the Southern Oscillation. Monthly Weather Review, 112, 326-332.
- Trenberth, K. E., & Hurrel, J. W. (1994). Decadal atmosphere-ocean variations in the Pacific. Climate Dynamics, 9, 303-319.
- Venrick, E. L., McGowan, J. A., Cayan, D. R., & Hayward, T. (1987). Climate and chlorophyll a: long-term trends in the central North Pacific Ocean. Science, 238, 70–72.
- Yoklavich, M. M., Loeb, V. J., Nishimoto, M., & Daly, B. (1996). Nearshore assemblages of larval rockfishes and their physical environment off Central California during an extended El Niño event, 1991–1993. Fishery Bulletin US, 94, 766–782.