Adopting a Laboratory Accreditation Standard

Christine Sotelo, Chief
California ELAP
Expert Review Panel Meeting
January 31 – February 2, 2017
Costa Mesa, California

Adopting a Standard

- We have decided to develop our standard based on TNI 2016
- This option offered many benefits:
 - It's off the shelf
 - Implementation tools and training already exist
 - It's consensus-based
 - Makes our training contract easier to execute

Resistance

- However, there has been substantial resistance to TNI
 - 23 of 24 speakers at our Board Workshop emphatically argued against its use
 - 70 of 79 of the letters we received were also in opposition
- ELTAC expressed concern with TNI
 - No one on ELTAC voted for TNI as is
 - More people on ELTAC (7-6) voted for developing our own standard over modifying TNI
- Both ELTAC and the Agency Partners identified areas California could improve on the TNI standard

Process to Determine Modifications

- ELTAC proposed and prioritized revisions
 - We dedicated two ELTAC meetings to this task
 - We also created an opportunity for input from the broader community
- Agency partners determined which of the suggested revisions lessened the value of ELAP certification to their agency
 - They met twice in person to consider ELTAC's proposals
- ELAP then took both advisory bodies' recommendations and made a determination

Our Criteria for Evaluation

We based our philosophy on two criteria

		VALUE TO AGENCY PARTNERS	
		LOW	HIGH
CHALLENGE TO LABS	LOW	Reject	Reject
	HIGH	Accept	Reject (Potentially Delay)

Modifications

- ELTAC suggested 128 modifications
- We are presently considering 58 total modifications
 - 48 are to add clarifying language
 - 7 are to allow additional time for compliance
 - 3 are to remove sections
- I'll walk you through a few examples of some of the changes we are considering making

Proficiency Testing Frequency

- TNI: Requires 2 PTs per year
 - Module 1, Section 5.0
- Problem: Both the Agency Partners and ELTAC have a low opinion of the PT process and see low value in requiring a second
- Proposed Change: Require one successful PT per year

Subcontracting to ISO/TNI labs

- TNI: Allows subcontracting to ISO- and TNI-certified labs
 - Module 2, Section 4.5
- Problem: Subcontract labs must be CA ELAPaccredited

 Proposed Change: Require subcontract laboratories to be ELAP-accredited

Document Control

- TNI: Requires document control system for compliance
- Problem: High upfront implementation cost is a challenge for small laboratories
- Proposed Change: Allow additional time for implementation

Technical Manager Qualifications

- TNI: Requires Technical Manager to have a Bachelor's degree
 - Module 2, Section 5.2.6.1
- Problem: Prohibits CWEA and AWWA certificate holders from qualifying as technical manager
- Proposed Change: Accept those certifications as qualification to hold Technical Manager position

Our Criteria for Evaluation

We based our philosophy on two criteria

		VALUE TO AGENCY PARTNERS	
		LOW	HIGH
CHALLENGE TO LABS	LOW	Reject	Reject
	HIGH	Accept	Reject (Potentially Delay)

We are not done yet

- We are still receiving input
 - We're looking forward to your feedback
 - TNI has recently offered input
 - We plan to have one more meeting with both ELTAC and the Agency Partners
- We need to do this soon
 - Need to agree on our recommended standard before the training program begins
 - We anticipate training begins in April-May
- We then need to incorporate this into a formal rulemaking process
 - My staff will draft the regulatory text
 - Then we will hold community workshops

Questions