## **SCCWRP Annual Report 2013**

## Performance evaluation of canine-associated Bacteroidales assays in a multi-laboratory comparison study

Alexander Schriewer<sup>1</sup>, Kelly D. Goodwin<sup>2,\*</sup>, Christopher D. Sinigalliano<sup>2</sup>, Annie M. Cox<sup>3,\*,\*\*</sup>, David Wanless<sup>4</sup>, Jakob Bartkowiak<sup>4</sup>, Darcy L. Ebentier<sup>5</sup>, Kaitlyn T. Hanley<sup>1,5</sup>, Jared Ervin<sup>6</sup>, Louise A. Deering<sup>7</sup>, Orin C. Shanks<sup>8</sup>, Lindsay A. Peed<sup>8</sup>, Wim G. Meijer<sup>7</sup>, John F. Griffith<sup>9</sup>, Jorge SantoDomingo<sup>10</sup>, Jennifer A. Jay<sup>5</sup>, Patricia A. Holden<sup>6</sup> and Stefan Wuertz<sup>1,11</sup>

## **ABSTRACT**

The contribution of fecal pollution from dogs in urbanized areas can be significant and is an often underestimated problem. Microbial source tracking methods (MST) utilizing quantitative PCR of dog-associated gene sequences encoding 16S rRNA of Bacteroidales are a useful tool to estimate these contributions. However, data about the performance of available assays are scarce. The results of a multi-laboratory study testing two assays for the determination of dog-associated Bacteroidales (DogBact and BacCan-UCD) on 64 single and mixed fecal source samples created from pooled fecal samples collected in California are presented here. Standardization of qPCR data treatment lowered interlaboratory variability of sensitivity and specificity results. Both assays exhibited 100% sensitivity. Normalization methods are presented that eliminated random and confirmed non-target responses. The combination of standardized qPCR data treatment, use of normalization via a non-target specific Bacteroidales assay (GenBac3), and application of threshold criteria improved the calculated specificity significantly for both assays. Such measures would reasonably improve MST data interpretation not only for canine-associated assays, but for all qPCR assays used in identifying and monitoring fecal pollution in the environment.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>University of California, Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, Davis, CA

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, Atlantic Oceanographic and Meteorological Laboratory, Miami, FL

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, Northwest Fisheries Science Center Laboratory, Seattle, WA

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>University of Miami, Cooperative Institute for Marine and Atmospheric Studies, Miami, FL

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>University of California, Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, Los Angeles, CA

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup>University of California, Bren School of Environmental Science & Management and Earth Research Institute, Santa Barbara, CA

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup>University College Dublin, School of Biomolecular and Biomedical Science, Dublin, Ireland

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup>US Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Research and Development, National Risk Management Research Laboratory, Cincinnati, OH

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup>Southern California Coastal Water Research Project, Costa Mesa, CA

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup>US Environmental Protection Agency, Microbial Contaminants Control Branch, National Risk Management Research Laboratory, Cincinnati, OH

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup>Nanyang Technological University, Singapore Centre on Environmental Life Sciences Engineering (SCELSE), School of Biological Sciences, and School of Civil and Environmental Engineering, Singapore

<sup>\*</sup>Stationed at NOAA/SWFSC, La Jolla, CA

<sup>\*\*</sup>Currently at: University of British Columbia, Life Sciences Center, Department of Microbiology and Immunology, Vancouver, Canada

## **Full Text**

http://ftp.sccwrp.org/pub/download/DOCUMENTS/AnnualReports/2013AnnualReport/ar13\_540\_553.pdf