## **SCCWRP Annual Report 2013**

## Genomics in marine monitoring: New opportunities for assessing marine health status

Sarah J. Bourlat<sup>1</sup>, Ángel Borja<sup>2</sup>, Jack Gilbert<sup>3</sup>, Martin I. Taylor<sup>4</sup>, Neil Davies<sup>5,6</sup>, Stephen B. Weisberg<sup>7</sup>, John Griffith<sup>7</sup>, Teresa Lettieri<sup>8</sup>, Dawn Field<sup>6,9</sup>, John Benzie<sup>10,11</sup>, Frank Oliver Glöckner<sup>12</sup>, Naiara Rodríguez- Ezpeleta<sup>2</sup>, Daniel P Faith<sup>13</sup>, Tim P. Bean<sup>14</sup> and Matthias Obst<sup>1</sup>

## **ABSTRACT**

This viewpoint paper explores the potential of genomics technology to provide accurate, rapid, and cost efficient observations of the marine environment. The use of such approaches in next generation marine monitoring programs will help achieve the goals of marine legislation implemented world-wide. Genomic methods can yield faster results from monitoring, easier and more reliable taxonomic identification, as well as quicker and better assessment of the environmental status of marine waters. A summary of genomic methods that are ready or show high potential for integration into existing monitoring programs is provided (e.g., qPCR, SNP based methods, DNA barcoding, microarrays, metagenetics, metagenomics, transcriptomics). These approaches are mapped to existing indicators and descriptors and a series of case studies is presented to assess the cost and added value of these molecular techniques in comparison with traditional monitoring systems. Finally, guidelines and recommendations are suggested for how such methods can enter marine monitoring programs in a standardized manner.

## **Full Text**

http://ftp.sccwrp.org/pub/download/DOCUMENTS/AnnualReports/2013AnnualReport/ar13 115 135.pdf

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>University of Gothenburg, Department of Biological and Environmental Sciences, Gothenburg, Sweden

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>AZTI-Tecnalia, Marine Research Division, Pasaia, Spain

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>University of Chicago and Argonne National Laboratory, Argonne, IL

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>Bangor University, School of Biological Sciences, UK

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>University of California Berkeley, Gump South Pacific Research Station, Moorea, French Polynesia

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup>University of Oxford, Biodiversity Institute, Department of Zoology, Oxford, UK

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup>Southern California Coastal Water Research Project, Costa Mesa, CA

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup>European Commission, Institute for Environment and Sustainability, DG Joint Research Centre, Ispra, Italy

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup>Centre for Ecology and Hydrology, Oxford, UK

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup>University College Cork, School of Biological Earth and Environmental Sciences, Cork, Ireland

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup>WorldFish Centre, Jalan Batu Maung, Penang, Malaysia

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup>Jacobs University, Bremen and Max Planck Institute for Marine Microbiology, Bremen, Germany

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup>The Australian Museum, Sydney, Australia

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup>Cefas Weymouth Laboratory Centre for Environment Fisheries and Aquaculture Science, Weymouth, UK