

SCCWRP Annual Report 2013

Passive sampling methods for contaminated sediments: State of the science for organic contaminants

Michael J. Lydy¹, Peter F. Landrum¹, Amy M.P. Oen², Mayumi Allinson³, Foppe Smedes⁴, Amanda D. Harwood¹, Heng Li⁵, Keith A. Maruya⁶ and James Liu⁷

¹*Southern Illinois University Center for Fisheries, Aquaculture and Aquatic Sciences and Department of Zoology, Carbondale, IL*

²*Norwegian Geotechnical Institute, Department of Environmental Technology, Oslo, Norway*

³*University of Melbourne, School of Chemistry, Centre for Aquatic Pollution Identification and Management (CAPIM), Parkville, Australia*

⁴*Deltares, Utrecht, The Netherlands*

⁵*Chinese Academy of Sciences, State Key Laboratory of Organic Geochemistry, Guangzhou, China*

⁶*Southern California Coastal Water Research Project, Costa Mesa, CA*

⁷*Chinese Academy of Sciences, State Key Laboratory of Environmental Chemistry and Ecotoxicology, Research Center for Eco-Environmental Sciences, Beijing, China*

ABSTRACT

This manuscript surveys the literature on passive sampler methods (PSMs) used in contaminated sediments to assess the chemical activity of organic contaminants. The chemical activity, in turn, dictates the reactivity and bioavailability of contaminants in sediment. Approaches to measure specific binding of compounds to sediment components, e.g., amorphous carbon or specific types of reduced carbon, and the associated partition coefficients are difficult to determine particularly for native sediment. Thus, the development of PSMs that represent the chemical activity of complex compound/sediment interactions, expressed as the freely dissolved contaminant concentration in interstitial water (C_{free}), offer a better proxy for endpoints of concern, such as reactivity, bioaccumulation, and toxicity. Passive sampling methods have estimated C_{free} using both kinetic and equilibrium operating modes and used various polymers as the sorbing phase, e.g., polydimethylsiloxane, polyethylene, and polyoxymethylene in various configurations, e.g., sheets, coated fibers, or vials containing thin films. These PSMs have been applied in laboratory exposures and field deployments covering a variety of spatial and temporal scales. A wide range of calibration conditions exist in the literature to estimate C_{free} , but consensus values have not been established. The most critical criteria are the partition coefficient between water and the polymer phase and the equilibrium status of the sampler. In addition, the PSM must not appreciably deplete C_{free} in the interstitial water. Some of the future challenges include establishing a standard approach for PSM measurements, correcting for non-equilibrium conditions, establishing guidance for selection and implementation of PSMs, and translating and applying data collected by PSMs.

Full Text

http://ftp.sccwrp.org/pub/download/DOCUMENTS/AnnualReports/2013AnnualReport/ar13_075_094.pdf