SCCWRP Annual Report 2012

Effect of platform, reference material, and quantification model on enumeration of *Enterococcus* by quantitative PCR methods

Yiping Cao, Mano Sivaganesan¹, Julie Kinzelman², A. Denene Blackwood³, Rachel T. Noble³, Richard A. Haugland⁴, John F. Griffith and Stephen B. Weisberg

¹United States Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Research and Development, National Risk Management Research Laboratory, Cincinnati, OH

²City of Racine Health Department, Racine, WI

ABSTRACT

Quantitative polymerase chain reaction (qPCR) is increasingly being used for the quantitative detection of fecal indicator bacteria in beach water. QPCR allows for same-day health warnings, and its application is being considered as an option for recreational water quality testing in the United States (USEPA 2011. EPA-OW-2011-0466, FRL-9609-3, Notice of Availability of Draft Recreational Water Quality Criteria and Request for Scientific Views). However, transition of qPCR from a research tool to routine water quality testing requires information on how method variations affect target enumeration. Here we compared qPCR performance and enumeration of enterococci in spiked and environmental water samples using three qPCR platforms (Applied Biosystem StepOnePlusTM, the BioRad iQTM5 and the Cepheid SmartCycler® II), two reference materials (lyophilized cells and frozen cells on filters) and two comparative CT quantification models (Δ CT and $\Delta\Delta$ CT). Reference materials exerted the biggest influence, consistently affecting results by approximately 0.5 log10 unit. Platform had the smallest effect, generally exerting <0.1 log10 unit difference in final results. Quantification model led to small differences (0.04 to 0.2 log10 unit) in this study with relatively uninhibited samples, but has the potential to cause as much as 8-fold (0.9 log 10 unit) difference in potentially inhibitory samples. Our findings indicate the need for a certified and centralized source of reference materials and additional studies to assess applicability of the quantification models in analyses of PCR inhibitory samples.

Full Text

ftp://ftp.sccwrp.org/pub/download/DOCUMENTS/AnnualReports/2012AnnualReport/ar12_261_272.pdf

³Institute of Marine Sciences, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Morehead City, NC

⁴United States Environmental Protection Agency, National Exposure Research Laboratory, Cincinnati. OH