SCCWRP Annual Report 2009

Fecal indicator bacteria (FIB) enumeration in beach sand: A comparison study of FIB extraction methods in medium to coarse sands

Alexandria B. Boehm¹, John Griffith, Charles McGee², Thomas A. Edge³, Helena M. Solo-Gabriele⁴, Richard Whitman⁵, Yiping Cao, Martin Getrich⁶, Jennifer A. Jay⁷, Donna Ferguson², Kelly D. Goodwin⁸, Christine M. Lee⁷, Melissa Madison and Stephen B. Weisberg

ABSTRACT

The absence of standardized methods for quantifying fecal indicator bacteria (FIB) in sand hinders comparison of results across studies. This study aimed to compare methods for extraction of fecal bacteria from sands and recommend a standardized extraction technique. Twenty-two methods for extracting enterococci and *Escherichia coli* from sand were evaluated, including multiple permutations of hand shaking, mechanical shaking, blending, sonication, number of rinses, settling time, eluant to sand ratio, eluant composition, prefiltration, and type of decantation. Tests were performed on sands from California, Florida, and Lake Michigan. Most extraction parameters did not significantly affect bacterial enumeration. ANOVA revealed significant effects of eluant composition and blending, with both sodium metaphosphate buffer and blending producing reduced counts. The simplest extraction method that produced the highest FIB recoveries consisted of 2 minutes of hand shaking, a 30-second settling time, 1 rinse step, and a 10:1 eluant volume to sand weight ratio. This result was consistent across the sand compositions tested in this study, but could vary for other sand types. Method standardization will improve the understanding of how sands affect surface water quality.

Full Text

ftp://ftp.sccwrp.org/pub/download/DOCUMENTS/AnnualReports/2009AnnualReport/AR09 249 261.pdf

¹Stanford University, Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, Stanford, CA

²Orange County Sanitation District, Fountain Valley, CA

³Environment Canada, National Water Research Institute, Water Science and Technology Directorate, Ontario, Canada

⁴University of Miami, Department of Civil, Architectural, and Environmental Engineering, Coral Gables, FL

⁵United States Geological Survey, Lake Michigan Ecological Research Station, Porter, IN

⁶Orange County Department of Public Health, Newport Beach, CA

⁷University of California, Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, Los Angeles, CA

⁸National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, Atlantic Oceanographic and Meteorological Laboratories, Miami, FL